1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
2	DISTRICT OF NEW ORRORT
3	
4	MARY ANN SIVOLELLA, et al, PLAINTIFFS
5	Vs. CIVIL NO. 11-4194 (PGS)
6	AXA EQUITABLE LIFE INS. CO.,
7	et al, DEFENDANTS
8	GLENN D. SANFORD, et al, PLAINTIFFS
9	Vs. CIVIL NO.
10	13-312 (PGS)
11	AXA EQUITABLE FUNDS MANAGEMENT GROUP,
12	DEFENDANT
13	
	DECEMBER 16, 2015
14	CLARKSON S. FISHER COURTHOUSE 402 EAST STATE STREET
15	TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08608
16	
17	B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE PETER G. SHERIDAN
18	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
19	
20	
21	HEARING ON SUBMISSION OF BOARD MATERIALS
22	
23	Certified as true and correct as required by Title 28, U.S.C. Section 753
24	/S/ Francis J. Gable FRANCIS J. GABLE, C.S.R., R.M.R.
25	OFFICIAL U.S. REPORTER (856) 889-4761

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	CONFEDMAN INVINO DIIMCHEIN C DIADED DC
4	SZAFERMAN, LAKIND, BLUMSTEIN & BLADER, PC BY: ARNOLD C. LAKIND, ESQUIRE ROBERT L. LAKIND, ESQUIRE
5	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
6	
7	BLANK ROME, LLP BY: DAVID C. KISTLER, ESQUIRE
8	FOR THE DEFENDANTS
9	
10	MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & McCLOY, LLP BY: SEAN M. MURPHY, ESQUIRE
11	ROBERT C. HORA, ESQUIRE JAMES N. BENEDICT, ESQUIRE
12	FOR THE DEFENDANTS
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 THE COURT: So, this is Sivolella versus AXA 2 Equitable. Can we enter appearances? We'll start with the 3 plaintiff. 4 MR. LAKIND: Yes, your Honor, good afternoon. 5 Arnold Lakind of Szaferman Lakind for the plaintiffs. 00:00 6 MR. R. LAKIND: Good afternoon, your Honor, Rob 7 Lakind for the plaintiffs. 8 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Lakinds. 9 MR. KISTLER: Good afternoon, your Honor, David 10 00:00 Kistler from Blank Rome on behalf of the defendants, and I'll 11 allow my co-counsel to introduce themselves. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Kistler. 13 MR. MURPHY: Sean Murphy with Milbank, Tweed, Hadley 14 and McCloy for the defendants, your Honor. 15 00:00 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Murphy. 16 MR. HORA: Robert Hora, also with Milbank Tweed for 17 the defendants. 18 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Hora. 19 MR. BENEDICT: And Drew Benedict, Milbank Tweed, 20 also for the defendants, your Honor. 00:00 21 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 22 So, I'd ask that all the parties assist me or help 23 me out on these things. Letters are okay, but I still think 24 you still need to follow the rules. And there are

25

00:01

requirements in the local rules as to double space and the

- 1 type of font size, and, you know, I wish you would follow
- 2 those rules.
- 3 And secondly, the one application I'm going to be
- 4 dealing with today I thought is the amount of materials from
- 5 the Board, and this actually looks like a motion to me. And I
 - 6 don't understand why we're not following motion rules. And
 - 7 even though it's close to trial, there's ways of doing that;
 - 8 you can bring it by order to show cause if it needs an
- 9 expedited date, but it doesn't seem to comply with the local
- one of the oracle of the oracl

00:02

- 11 Who wishes to be heard first on the Board materials
- 12 is what I think we're dealing with?
- 13 MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, it's Sean Murphy from
- 14 Milbank Tweed for the defendants, your Honor. First let me
- 15 apologize for the way we presented the matter. I'm hoping if
 - 16 I explain what the issue is, maybe you'll see it's a little --
 - 17 it doesn't fit squarely into kind of a clean motion.
 - 18 THE COURT: I know it doesn't, but these letters
 - 19 come in on the docket, and I try to keep abreast of them all,
 - 20 as do my law clerks, but when you see it as a notice of motion
 - 21 it kind of fits into a different category as to what you're
 - 22 reading.
 - 23 MR. MURPHY: Fair point, your Honor, and I do
 - **24** apologize.
- D0:02 **25** THE COURT: The thing about it is when it's a

	1	discovery matter I know the magistrate judges will handle
	2	things like that, because they'll handle them on an expedited
	3	basis as they come in, but generally when it's a motion that's
	4	dispositive in nature or a significant issue before trial, you
00:03	5	know, I always look at it as well, if it's important enough
	6	for the parties to present it, then it should be presented in
	7	a more formal way I think. So, somehow a motion seems to be
	8	more appropriate to me I guess is the better way of saying it.
	9	MR. MURPHY: Okay, your Honor. And I do apologize.
00:03	10	THE COURT: The double spacing is better for me,
	11	because the way I prepare every day, I wake up very early in
	12	the morning, and some would say it's not even it's before
	13	dawn usually. And so when you wake up, even though my mind is
	14	very alert, my eyes are still very squinting at the page,
00:03	15	so I'd just like it to be easier to read early in the day.
	16	So, the double spacing and the font size are more important.
	17	And I don't put it on my computer because and I
	18	know it's old fashioned, but that's just the way I am, I write
	19	all my notes on the side of the pages. So it's just easier to
00:04	20	do it.
	21	MR. MURPHY: And there's certainly no excuse for
	22	that, your Honor, I apologize. We should have double-spaced
	23	and used larger font regardless of whether it was a motion, so
	24	I do appreciate that and we won't let it happen again.
00:04	25	THE COURT: Okay, thanks.

	1	MR. MURPHY: So, just to tee up the issue because
	2	you may want it presented differently in a motion or an order
	3	to show cause, but what we were hoping to do
	4	THE COURT: No, I have you here, we'll do it today.
00:04	5	MR. MURPHY: What we'd like, your Honor, is guidance
	6	on what to do with these "Board materials", and how to handle
	7	the admission of them. And I'm going to I'm not going to
	8	try and move them into admission today obviously, but I'm just
	9	trying to frame some of the issues so we can take some of the
00:04	10	burden off you and the delay of trial. And I recognize at
	11	first blush this might seem kind of like a procedural issue,
	12	but it really is it does hold the potential to
	13	substantially delay or disrupt trial if we don't deal with it
	14	a little bit in advance.
00:05	15	And first some context here. You'll recall, your
	16	Honor, perhaps from summary judgment, this is a 36(b) case
	17	where plaintiffs have challenged the fees charged to 12 mutual
	18	funds as excessive. And the relevant period, at least
	19	certainly according to plaintiffs, spans over many years, from
00:05	20	2010 at least through 2014, so at least five years.
	21	And these fees were approved by a Board of Directors
	22	each year, a majority of whom were independent trustees,
	23	independent of the advisor. And the documents we're talking
	24	about are the documents that these Board members are given
00:05	25	each year as part of their approval of the fees. There's

statutes that govern this, there's a section of the Investment 1 2 Company Act that says you must give the Board information that 3 reasonably allows them to conclude or give them enough 4 information to approve the fees, so they're governed by 00:06 statute. 5 6 THE COURT: And they're kept in the ordinary course 7 of business? 8 MR. MURPHY: Totally kept in the ordinary course of business, your Honor. There's actually Investment Company 10 00:06 rules, Section 31(a) and (b) requires these books and records 11 be kept; they're subject to inspection by the SCC, when the 12 SCC comes in this is what they get. There's no question that 13 these were prepared both before and after the litigation, and 14 there's very much we think business records -- again I'm not 15 trying to lay the foundation, we can bring in a witness to do 00:06 16 that, but we have this -- a significant issue and these are --17 I should say, your Honor, we believe these are the critical 18 materials at trial. 19 We have -- between our collective counsel we've 20 00:06 tried four of the seven cases to go to trial under 36(b), 21 these are always the core of the case. You may recall from 22 summary judgment the Gartenberg factors, you know, these six 23 factors, one of which is the independence and 24 conscientiousness of the independent trustees, and courts have 25 said it's among the most important factor. The Supreme Court 00:07

00:07

30:08

30:08

- approved the standard in Gartenberg and said really the 1 2 starting point of your analysis is whether the Board had adequate information. 3 4 THE COURT: So what I was uncertain about when I 5 read the letters, was I thought you were trying -- or there was an attempt to put in all Board materials on all matters that were reviewed by the Board; but you're only asking to put in the materials dealing with the fees? 9 MR. MURPHY: They tend to be bundled together, and 10 maybe the best way to do this is to get right to the heart of 11 the matter. I mean we're talking about as I said five years 12 of Board materials, there's at least five meetings a year, but 13 for example in 2011 and '12 there were 14 meetings, so there's 14 maybe 50 meetings of the Board at issue. And let me just show 15 you what they look like. 16 If I may approach, your Honor? 17 THE COURT: Okay, you may. You have to show it to 18 your adversary. 19 MR. MURPHY: Yes, I'm going to give them one. 20 They've seen this, this is Exhibit 1. They're very --21 MR. LAKIND: We've seen it, your Honor.
 - MR. MURPHY: I'll give them another copy as well.

 Actually that may have my handwriting in it. I

 don't want ex parte communications -- I think I might get in

 trouble if I circled some stuff on the first page, your Honor.

	1	(Handing to Court.)
	2	THE COURT: Thank you.
	3	MR. MURPHY: So, this is this is Book 1, and
	4	really what you have is we needed an efficient way to
00:08	5	handle these at trial; right? So this is Book 1. There's
	6	usually a Book 1, 2 and 3 for a board meeting; sometimes
	7	there's a Book 1 and a Book 2, but there's usually a Book 1,
	8	Book 2 and Book 3 particularly at the annual meeting where
	9	there's an actual vote on the contracts. And this is how
00:09	10	they're kept in the ordinary course of business, your Honor.
	11	So there's if you go into AXA's files this is
	12	what you'd find. When the SEC comes in and they say let me
	13	see your Board materials they're subject to inspection, they
	14	lay these on the table and the SEC goes through them. So,
00:09	15	these are these are how they're kept in the ordinary course
	16	of business; it's a book. And if you look through them, your
	17	Honor
	18	THE COURT: Let's just go back through this book.
	19	So, if I was attending this board meeting, which this would be
00:09	20	the quarter ending December 31st, 2009; let's say I was a
	21	board member, I'd just have one book?
	22	MR. MURPHY: So these are actually
	23	THE COURT: How many books would I have?
	24	MR. MURPHY: There'd be three books for this
00:09	25	meeting I think there was three, there's often three. So it

1 would be three books, but they're presented to the Board on an 2 electronic system called Diligent, which is a big provider in 3 the industry, and they would be posted as Book 1 on Diligent. 4 And if you look at --5 00:10 THE COURT: So, you mean posted in some way on the Internet so they can review these documents? 6 7 MR. MURPHY: Yeah, and we would go through this at trial, your Honor, but they would have an IPad that they can 8 access them as well that's specifically hooked up. And if you 10 look at the tab, for example the second tab is the Agenda, 00:10 11 your Honor, you'll see at the top there's a legend, EQ 12 Advisors Trust Agenda, and then there would be a legend on 13 every page of the entire book. 14 The pages are consecutively numbered, they start 15 with page 1, and the very last page of this entire book is 00:10 16 numbered 1186. So there's -- it's consecutively numbered from 17 1 to 1186. And if you look at the agenda, your Honor, what 18 you say is, you know, references on the right-hand side to 19 presenter, and it has references to tab 6 of Book 1, tab 5 of 20 00:11 Book 2. 21 So, the agendas go through and highlight references 22 to the tab numbers that we don't think would make sense, if 23 you start unbundling the book, take out random pages. There 24 are numerous -- there was many depositions in this case, your 25 Honor, and witnesses referred to Book 1, Book 2, Book 3; at 00:11

1 trial they will certainly refer to the various books and the 2 tabs within the books. 3 And if I may just hand out one other thing, your 4 If I may approach? Honor. 00:11 5 THE COURT: You may. 6 (Handing to Court.) 7 THE COURT: We'll mark that Defendant's Exhibit 1 8 for today. That's the book. 9 MR. MURPHY: That's Book 1, yes. 10 THE COURT: And then this other one we'll mark as 00:12 11 Defendant's Exhibit 2. 12 MR. MURPHY: Correct. Thanks, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Can you just describe what Defendant's 14 Exhibit 2 is, sir? 15 MR. MURPHY: Yeah, for the record, Defendant's 00:12 16 Exhibit 2 is a compilation of actually three different 17 documents behind separate tabs. The first one is an agenda of 18 a board meeting for July 8th and 9th, 2013; second tab is a 19 set of meeting minutes of the EQAT Board of Trustees for a meeting held on July 8th and 9th, 2013; and the third is --00:13 20 21 it's one of the exhibits off plaintiff's exhibit lists and how 22 they presented the materials, I don't have the exact exhibit 23 number. 24 THE COURT: Okay.

25

00:13

MR. MURPHY: So, if you just look at tab 2 of

	1	Defendant's Exhibit 2, your Honor, so this is the minutes of
	2	the meeting of the Board of Trustees, this one was in July of
	3	2013. And I didn't do all of them, if you turn to page 3
	4	there's references in the minutes to presentations that were
00:13	5	given by defendant's employees to the Board that reference
	6	this one's this one references a tab 6 of Book 3. Again,
	7	on page 6, Mr. Joenk then referred the Trustees to the
	8	overview of FMG's profitability located behind tab 5 of Book
	9	3. Page 10 there's two references, one to a tab 1 of Book 1,
00:14	10	and a tab 2 of Book 1. Two more references on page 11 to tab
	11	2 of Book 2, tab 3 of Book 1.
	12	So the minutes, which are the critical record of
	13	what the Board reviewed, what was presented, what they
	14	questioned, it's based on tab numbers in an overall book. And
00:14	15	we don't think it would create a very good record to sort of
	16	pull out random pages, and many of which we believe many of
	17	these documents, maybe not all of them, we don't need to move
	18	in every single piece, but we believe many of them are
	19	information the directors relied on in approving these fees.
00:14	20	So, we think these are the way they're kept in the
	21	ordinary course of business. It puts us in a weird position
	22	to unbundle it somehow and then say well's it not how it's
	23	kept, we pulled out random pages. It would be an unclear
	24	record because we might pull out pages 12, 18, 28, instead of
00:15	25	having consecutively numbered pages, so it wouldn't create a

00:15

00:16

- 1 very clear record. 2 So what plaintiffs have done -- so on our exhibit 3 list, your Honor, this would be Exhibit 1. And we think it's a -- I'm just trying to respect your time because it will be a lengthy trial; when we put a witness on to lay a foundation to 5 say we need to move a voluminous amount of Board materials in, we would put on a witness to say this is Book 1, this is the 8 way it's kept, meet that requirement and move on. 9 If we unbundle, the plaintiffs would have this taken 10 apart and made into -- there'd be some subjectivity to what's 11 a separate document. But they would say break this into 150 12 or 200 components, and then have a witness come in and say for 13 each one, okay, this is this, this is this, and we'd do six 14 days of foundational testimony by unbundling the book for what
- 20:15 25 end. I mean the Trustees say they rely on all the information 26 they get in approving the fees.
 - 17 And just to go back to Defendant's Exhibit 2, your

 18 Honor --
 - THE COURT: So you're saying the custodian of

 records may show as one of your witnesses, and the custodian

 of records would say, well, these are all the books from the

 Board of Trustees meetings between certain periods.
 - 23 MR. MURPHY: Yeah. And whatever -- and if you
 24 wanted more detail we can obviously break it down, but we
 25 would try and do it -- and we think these qualify quite

	1	clearly as business records. You know, plaintiffs will point
	2	to one document in there that they think is, you know, hearsay
	3	within hearsay, but we think the bulk of them will come in as
	4	business records.
00:16	5	Just to turn to tab 3 in Defendant's Exhibit 2, your
	6	Honor, which is the skinny book, so this is the way and I
	7	was actually generous because there's a more confusing one
	8	that's on plaintiff's list, but this is a document as it
	9	appears on plaintiff's exhibit list. And so if you look at
00:17	10	the heading at the top, it says EQ Advisors Trust Board
	11	Meeting July 8th and 9th, 2013, Book 2 Industry Report; so
	12	this was pulled out of Book 2, but we have no idea what tab it
	13	was behind. So if this is referenced in the Board materials
	14	as tab 2 of Book 2 we don't know what it is, there's no cross
00:17	15	reference to the Board materials.
	16	You'll see it starts on page 9, and goes to page
	17	226. Obviously there's only about 50 pages here, so they jump
	18	all over the place, it goes from page 9, then it goes to page
	19	18 to 27, 46 to 50, 62 to 80, whatever the page numbers are
00:17	20	but there's massive gaps. And to what end? That's not how
	21	they're kept, and the references in the Board materials will
	22	be incomplete. It just doesn't seem like an efficient way to
	23	do it.
	24	The reason why we're trying to deal with this now,
00:18	25	your Honor, again going back to where I started was at first

	1	blush this could seem like something we could wait on, you
	2	could hear testimony and decide; but the problem is the
	3	parties have made absolutely no progress at resolving what
	4	Board materials can come in.
00:18	5	And your Honor so, they're relying on the Board
	6	materials extensively. All their experts use them. We looked
	7	at their exhibit list, they have 400 exhibits; over 200 of
	8	them are the Board materials, more than half their exhibits
	9	are the Board materials. We want the complete record to come
00:18	10	in, but we haven't resolved a single objection.
	11	Plaintiffs have objected to every single page of the
	12	Board materials; they're not conceding one page should come in
	13	yet. Even though they admit some are business records they're
	14	relying on them, because they're saying well, you've got these
00:18	15	big books, and if I have one one objection on one page, I'm
	16	that's my objection; and I'm not going to go through them
	17	and tell you what they are, it's we don't like the way
	18	you've done it.
	19	If we were, your Honor, to have some guidance from
00:19	20	you that these can be as presented as one book and that's how
	21	we lay the foundation through a witness at trial, you would
	22	accept them as such and it's appropriate to include them on
	23	the witness as such, they could then go through them. If they
	24	have some objections to some pages we could meet and confer;
00:19	25	we may be willing to pull out some pages that we both agree

	1	are irrelevant, it's not a problem.
	2	If for purposes of ease of trial, people want to
	3	take out one tab and use it, we have no objection to that. So
	4	we're not saying they can't use the exhibits on their list,
00:19	5	we're just trying to do this in an efficient way and put the
	6	records into evidence the way they're kept in the ordinary
	7	course. That doesn't seem like a tall ask.
	8	But if we wait 'til trial, here's my fear, your
	9	Honor, here's why I think you need to do something; we go to
00:19	10	put on Book 1 and we've got a stack of books and we're going
	11	to go through them all. They say no, you need to unbundle
	12	them into 200 components each, so it's really now 4,000
	13	different exhibits. And then they're going to object and say
	14	well, this relates to a fund not at issue, redact that out,
00:20	15	you know, do redactions, pull out pages; then we would do that
	16	we'd have a new exhibit list with 4,000 pages which would
	17	take a tremendous amount of time. They would then have to go
	18	through and lodge a new set of objections, all of which we
	19	could do that if we got some guidance from your Honor.
00:20	20	THE COURT: Well, assuming that I don't know
	21	exactly have to state my but I'd ask the parties to look at
	22	Rule 1006, and it says there: The proponent may use a summary
	23	chart or a calculation to prove the content of voluminous
	24	writings, recordings or photographs that cannot be

25 conveniently examined by the court.

	1	So, if you're going to have 50 of these books, I
	2	would say that fits into the phrase "cannot be conveniently
	3	examined by the court." So the proponent must make the
	4	originals or duplicates available for inspection on a
00:21	5	reasonable timeframe, but you may make a summary of that;
	6	right? Is there a way that I get a summary of what's in the
	7	books, so I get a list of what pages I should refer to when
	8	you're going through all this testimony?
	9	MR. MURPHY: So yes, in some regard and we're
00:21	10	very cognizant of burdening you with with cumbersome
	11	exhibits and voluminous materials, so we've we've
	12	undertaken, for example, to go through the Gartenberg factors,
	13	profitability is one of the factors. And there's 12 funds and
	14	five years, so there's 60 data points of profit margins
00:21	15	there's; there's also a management and admin contract, so now
	16	you double it, there's 120 data points, all of which are drawn
	17	from the Board materials.
	18	And we've prepared demonstrative exhibits that we
	19	think qualify as summary charts under 1006. We've cited the
00:22	20	source material which is in the Board materials, so we're
	21	going to do all that, and that will certainly make your life
	22	easier, but we do there are a couple reasons where we think
	23	we need the Board materials actually to be moved into
	24	evidence, and there's two main reasons, your Honor.
00:22	25	First, one of the most important things you have to

	1	decide up front is whether the Board was fully informed, and
	2	if we just give you a list of, you know, they got this broad
	3	type of information, you don't you don't necessarily have
	4	in the record what the Board saw. And they could come in
00:22	5	after trial in post trial briefings and say there's no
	6	evidence, your Honor, the Board was told A, B and C; and it's
	7	absolutely buried in the Board materials, but it's not in some
	8	summary list.
	9	And secondly, your Honor, you may recall the
00:22	10	complaint in this matter, the allegation is that FMG delegated
	11	virtually all of the work to a sub-advisor, and one of the
	12	things that's required by both the management and admin
	13	contract, different pieces, but both contracts cover some
	14	portions of the Board materials, so it's a lot of the stuff
00:23	15	that's in there you need to see and see how hard it is to
	16	prepare and the work that FMG does to put it together as
	17	evidence of what we do.
	18	And again, that's that's regardless of the truth
	19	of the matter asserted, your Honor. So even if they had some
00:23	20	business records problem, we could put in a lot of this
	21	material to show what FMG does. So there's a lot of reasons
	22	why isolated pieces or just summarize don't get it done for
	23	us, given the allegations that we don't do anything. So they
	24	don't want us to put in what we do, your Honor, this is a huge
00:23	25	substantive piece to say well, you don't it doesn't take

	1	any time to prepare this, anybody can do this. That's just
	2	not the case and we need to show you that in many respects.
	3	Now, that doesn't mean, your Honor
	4	THE COURT: I got your point.
00:23	5	MR. MURPHY: Okay. So what are we asking for; we
	6	just think because these are the way the documents are kept,
	7	it's a more efficient use of the Court's time, it would be a
	8	clearer record, that we be permitted to treat these as a
	9	single exhibit. And plaintiffs, if they object there's
00:24	10	many of these documents they want in too, but if they object
	11	to portions of it, identify them and we'll meet and confer and
	12	see if we can resolve those, because there may be some where
	13	we can reduce the volume by taking it out.
	14	I will say you know, they'll say there's hundreds
00:24	15	of thousands of pages; there are significant portions of, for
	16	example, Book 2 we think we can eliminate 50,000 pages if we
	17	can meet and confer on the issue, and just stipulate that
	18	although this is not the way they're kept in the ordinary
	19	course of business, we remove these 50,000 pages we could
00:24	20	greatly shorten them. So I think there are ways we can make a
	21	lot of progress, it's more of just can we start doing it.
	22	THE COURT: Why can't we just put them on a disk?
	23	Why do we have to have all the books?
	24	MR. MURPHY: And that would be our intent, your
00:24	25	Honor. We have no intention of showing up and going through

	1	page by page with you, it would really just be getting them in
	2	as business records; they would want us to unbundle it, and
	3	they may say this is a thousand documents, I suspect they have
	4	their they're going to stand up and probably show you as
00:25	5	they showed to Judge Arpert, they're going to take this exact
	6	same document and say, it's got yellow tabs all over it, and
	7	all they've done is pull the chapters out of a book and say
	8	oh, it's a thousand different documents, and they want us to
	9	lay a foundation for each of those thousand which is totally
00:25	10	not practical or efficient use of your time when many of them
	11	they don't even object to.
	12	THE COURT: All right.
	13	MR. MURPHY: Thank you, your Honor.
	14	MR. LAKIND: Thank you, your Honor. And I, too,
00:25	15	apologize for the manner in which our papers were submitted.
	16	THE COURT: Yes, thanks.
	17	MR. LAKIND: Unfortunately the problem is
	18	considerably more complicated than counsel has indicated,
	19	which is why plaintiffs are of the view they should be
00:25	20	revolved by way of motion.
	21	What I would like to do is first address the context
	22	in which this problem arises, and secondly, propose what I
	23	think is a fair and reasonable solution for all parties.
	24	Let me start first with the context in which this
00:26	25	problem arises. Defendants in this matter have served an

	1	exhibit list with 1,871 separate documents, that total nearly
	2	one million pages. We frankly were overwhelmed by that list.
	3	We could not sensibly review an exhibit list of that
	4	magnitude. It contains many, if not the majority, of
00:26	5	documents are utterly irrelevant to this case. By serving an
	6	exhibit list of that magnitude it defeats the goal of the
	7	whole pretrial process to have to make our cases
	8	transparent. In contrast, our exhibit list is some 400 odd
	9	exhibits, most of which are drawn from the Board material.
00:27	10	When defendants made their summary judgment motion,
	11	they had the ability to isolate documents they thought were
	12	relevant to the disposition of this matter included in their
	13	motion, and respectfully that's what they should have done
	14	here.
00:27	15	Now, this is not a problem that arose recently; it
	16	arose in November, 2014, more than one year ago when I had a
	17	conversation with counsel, and indicated that I did not think
	18	Board material was a business record. It's an advocacy
	19	document, it's a document which the Board uses excuse me;
00:27	20	which FMG uses to negotiate a higher fee with my clients'
	21	Board of Directors. If we have the opportunity to brief the
	22	issue, we will demonstrate that this these 15,000 pages are
	23	not business records, they're documents prepared by counsel,
	24	they're documents with opinions; they could not satisfy the
00:28	25	business record exception.

	1	But the evidential ruling on this document is
	2	inextricably intertwined with substantive issues. And what
	3	I'd like to do now, your Honor, is turn to what I perceive to
	4	be a simple straightforward way to deal with the issues. It
00:28	5	appears from reviewing defendant's papers that they are of the
	6	view that the Board materials are relevant on three issues:
	7	Number one, the extent of the work done by FMG; number two,
	8	was the Board fully informed; and number three, were the
	9	substantive truth of a variety of documents, the performance
00:28	10	of the funds and the expenses of the funds and so on.
	11	Let me first turn to the first issue, which is the
	12	extent of the work done by FMG. The overwhelming majority of
	13	the 1,871 exhibits and the 100,000 pages of Board material are
	14	utterly irrelevant to this case. This case is brought on
00:29	15	behalf of 12 mutual funds. FMG claims to be the advisor to 90
	16	mutual funds. So there is information in that Board material
	17	with regard to 78 mutual funds that have nothing to do with
	18	this case. How we or the Court or any witness is able to go
	19	through and understand those is well beyond me.
00:29	20	THE COURT: Well, couldn't some of the funds have
	21	overlapping issues?
	22	MR. LAKIND: That's what I'd like to turn to. There
	23	are three categories of information within the Board material.
	24	The first category is material that clearly relates to our 12
00:29	25	funds. The second category is Board material in which there

	1	is overlap, that it affects our 12 funds and the 78 other
	2	funds. And the third category is work done on behalf of other
	3	funds.
	4	For instance, in the very first exhibit on their
00:30	5	exhibit list, there are 100 there are well over 100
	6	documents in that single exhibit which pertain to other funds.
	7	There are contract with other funds, they're boards of ethics
	8	of other advisers, they're information about other funds; that
	9	is not the least bit relevant to what the Board does with
00:30	10	regard to the 12 funds we represent. And it's simply
	11	impossible for counsel or the Court to examine them.
	12	I would respectfully submit the way to deal with
	13	this issue insofar as they want to demonstrate the magnitude
	14	of their work, is to pull out the information that is
00:30	15	completely irrelevant to this case, to the 78 funds that are
	16	not even plaintiffs before your Honor.
	17	With regard to the remainder, some of those were
	18	prepared by FMG, and we can look at them and agree to that.
	19	But a various large number were prepared by others. And one
00:31	20	of the issues in this case is who did what work; well, with
	21	regard to the others we should have an agreement that the work
	22	that indicates it was prepared by others was in fact prepared
	23	by others. The remaining documents we can talk to and try and
	24	resolve what happens to them. We can't do it with 100,000
00:31	25	pages of paper. It's impossible to know what is in there.

	1	The second issue they tell you this information is
	2	important to is
	3	THE COURT: I don't know, but during the course of
	4	this trial they'll be presenting certain pages for me to
00:31	5	review; right? They're not going to ask me to review the
	6	documents of 78 mutual funds that have no bearing on the case
	7	so to speak; right? So if they're only asserting certain
	8	pages, why don't you just go to those pages? Why should they
	9	do this initial go through all the Board documents and then
00:32	10	delete everything that's not what you say may not be
	11	relevant.
	12	And if you say it's not relevant, why isn't it your
	13	job to show exactly what pages they are? I mean, they keep
	14	these records I don't know why who the secretary of the
00:32	15	Board is, but generally the custodian of records could be just
	16	some person, you know, that doesn't have all the I want to
	17	say this correctly; it could be just a person that's familiar
	18	with what was presented at the Board, and what they have kept
	19	as a result of that Board meeting, and they would be the ones
00:33	20	to say I've had it, it's been in my office, we haven't altered
	21	it, and it's usually kept every week or every month, and
	22	that's how you get the board pages in.
	23	But they might not have the knowledge to know what
	24	mutual funds they apply to, whether it's the 78 or less than
00:33	25	the or whatever it is. So, I'm not sure why it's their

- 1 burden to do that. Maybe you'd have to come forward with 2 what's irrelevant. 3 MR. LAKIND: Okay. Your Honor, let me --4 THE COURT: I mean you're asking them to do your job 5 00:33 first. 6 MR. LAKIND: I think not, your Honor. Number one, 7 the Board materials are hearsay; I mean everyone agreed to 8 that when we were in court before Judge Arpert. In the course of the discussions, defendants said they would undertake the burden to make a motion for -- to make a motion to admit these 10 00:34 11 documents as an exception to the hearsay rule. 12 These documents are not business records, and if we 13 are given the opportunity to brief this issue, we will 14 demonstrate that they are not business records. They are full 15 of conclusions, they are full of judgments -- some are, some 00:34 16 are financial records that are legitimate business records, 17 and we didn't object to over 400 of those documents. 18 But the overwhelming majority are opinions, they are 19 not business records. And it's their burden to demonstrate 20 00:34 that these documents fall within the exception to the hearsay 21 rule, and if we are permitted to brief it they will not be 22 able to do take.
 - 24 THE COURT: No, go ahead.

23

O0:35 MR. LAKIND: Your Honor indicates that, well,

Secondly, your Honor indicates that -- I'm sorry.

	1	they're going to only ask you to look at a certain subset of
	2	these documents and hopefully that is the case. But the whole
	3	purpose of an exhibit list in a pretrial order, is to provide
	4	some transparency to all parties as to what each party thinks
00:35	5	is relevant to the disposition of the case. When we receive
	6	an exhibit list of 400 different pages excuse me; 400
	7	documents that constitute Board materials, and 1,871 documents
	8	that they say are exhibits, it's impossible for us to discern
	9	what is relevant and to properly prepare our witnesses.
00:35	10	Third, if defendants are going to ask your Honor to
	11	only look at some documents in trial, why should they not do
	12	it now so that we can simply eliminate the objections in
	13	advance. Plaintiffs did that, and defendants did that in
	14	connection with their motion for summary judgment. To require
00:35	15	the parties to sit here and go through irrelevant page after
	16	irrelevant page, simply is not in anyone's interest.
	17	The issue here is what did FMG do; we say they
	18	didn't do very much and others did. They need to lay that
	19	foundation as to what they did. Simply putting the Board
00:36	20	materials in does not do that.
	21	There's a second issue
	22	THE COURT: Well, it may not be all of the evidence,
	23	but it could be a portion of their case. So, I think that
	24	they should be in zealously defending their case they
00:36	25	should be able to put in all the information they believe is

00:37

00:37

- relevant; one of it would be what the Board members were 1 2 thinking or how they formed their opinions. 3 MR. LAKIND: Absolutely, and I'll get to that in a 4 moment --5 THE COURT: So that's where you get to these Board members; here's what I was looking at, this is what I thought 7 was a smart thing to do. 8 MR. LAKIND: Absolutely and I'd like to get to that in one moment, but your Honor, let me just clarify one thing; 10 there are many things in this Board material which they are 11 going to claim credit for having done. And that's an area of 12 significant dispute. Our evidence --13 THE COURT: That's why we have trials. 14 MR. LAKIND: Our evidence will show that others did 15 that work. They need to lay a foundation to demonstrate what 16 they did, because otherwise a document will simply come in as 17 being handed to your Honor as an example of work, which they 18 cannot lay a foundation that they did it. So that's why with 19 regard to what they did we're objecting on foundational 20 grounds. 21 Now, your Honor said a moment ago --THE COURT: The question is evidence; right? So 22
- 23 they're looking at this as showing this is evidence of
- 24 something we've done; if you dispute that they haven't done it
- 10:37 **25** then you can bring in whatever evidence you want. Right?

	1	MR. LAKIND: Yes, sir.
	2	THE COURT: So, then it's up the Court because I'm
	3	also the trier of fact as to weigh that evidence, who's right.
	4	So I don't get your point that they're going to try to say
00:38	5	they did something they didn't do; well, if that happens
	6	you'll have your opportunity to present that evidence.
	7	MR. LAKIND: Well, perhaps, your Honor, let me give
	8	you an example of one piece of evidence that is typical of
	9	this. There's been a good deal of argument over the
00:38	10	admissibility of a side-by-side chart and your Honor might
	11	recall that was argued before your Honor some months ago.
	12	Defendants are of the view that that was something that was
	13	prepared by FMG; plaintiffs are of the view that is something
	14	that was prepared by counsel.
00:38	15	There are a variety of reports that we think were
	16	prepared by sub-advisors; defendants of the view that it was
	17	prepared by them. They need to lay a foundation to say we're
	18	giving this to you, the finder of fact, as an example of our
	19	work, and the foundation that we that we did this work is
00:39	20	this. We are entitled to have them lay a foundation if a
	21	document is to come in as an example of work. It shouldn't
	22	simply be handed up to your Honor as the work.
	23	Your Honor also mentioned that well, doesn't the
	24	Board don't they have the ability to have the Board say we
00:39	25	looked at this document, we reviewed this document; and the

	1	answer to that is yes, because one of the issues in this case
	2	is how careful and how conscientious was the Board.
	3	However, this information was posted to an Internet
	4	site called Diligent, three weeks before the meeting. Board
00:39	5	members have testified they didn't review this information.
	6	So when the assessment becomes how well informed was the
	7	Board, the fact that it was posted is not an indicia of what
	8	the Board did and what the Board knew.
	9	We will have testimony from a board member that said
00:40	10	that they didn't look at all of this information. So the
	11	notion that merely posting it is is evidential of how well
	12	informed the Board is, is a legal issue. Going back many
	13	years in the old days when the question was if you mailed a
	14	letter was it received, and there were certain inferences or
00:40	15	presumptions that developed. Likewise there's a legal issue
	16	here. Merely posting this information
	17	THE COURT: I don't get how those two things are
	18	related. I mean mailing a letter always goes to it's
	19	whether people had notice of a hearing, for instance, if you
00:40	20	go to a planning board or a variance or something of that
	21	nature, you know, you have to send a letter to your neighbors
	22	telling them that you're applying for something. And the
	23	issue with regard to that notice is whether the parties had
	24	the right to present any objections they might have. So it
00:41	25	goes into a notice and due process issue.

	1	Here we're talking about the posting of things on
	2	the Internet for Board members to read. It's not whether they
	3	got it or not, we know they got it. So, I don't see how you
	4	analyze whether a letter was sent and received to be the same
00:41	5	as what we're doing in this case.
	6	MR. LAKIND: I think the analogy was a poor one, but
	7	that being said, that being said, the mere fact that this
	8	material was posted on the Internet in the face of testimony
	9	from Board members that they did not read it, does not
00:41	10	demonstrate that the Board was well informed. They need to
	11	bring in
	12	THE COURT: I don't even see the merit in your
	13	argument, because that seems to me as that the defense
	14	presents this as evidence that the Board considered it, you
00:42	15	present evidence that the Board didn't consider it, and then I
	16	have to weigh the evidence. That's what we do at trials. So,
	17	I don't see why because you have this evidence that's contrary
	18	to the defendants, that I should rule that the evidence is not
	19	admissible. That makes no sense to me.
00:42	20	MR. LAKIND: No, I'm not making that argument. The
	21	argument I'm making, your Honor
	22	THE COURT: Yes, you are.
	23	MR. LAKIND: is that in addition to posting the
	24	information on the Internet, to make it relevant they need to
00:42	25	bring a board member or Board members in to say we reviewed

	1	this information, and it formed part of our decision-making
	2	process. Merely posting it on the Internet does not make it
	3	admissible. If they take the second step, then we have a
	4	factual dispute, because we'll bring in evidence that they
00:43	5	didn't look at it.
	6	THE COURT: So you think that the custodian of
	7	records we usually do it through a custodian of records,
	8	but you're just saying that to have the entire books
	9	admissible, then we'd need the custodian of records who
00:43	10	maintained the books, plus some board member who said we
	11	relied on it; is that what we're here about?
	12	MR. LAKIND: That's one component of the argument,
	13	that merely posting it on the Internet does not make it
	14	admissible unless they take the second step, which is to bring
00:43	15	a board member in which they can't do because the Board
	16	members will testify they didn't review it; bring a board
	17	member in to connect the posting to the deliberative process.
	18	THE COURT: All right.
	19	MR. LAKIND: Your Honor, the third issue, of course,
00:43	20	is the substantive relevance, and here I think we did our best
	21	to identify all of the Board material that represents
	22	excuse me; that relates to these significant issues, the
	23	profitability, comparative fees, expenses and so on. We
	24	didn't object to some 400 of the 1,800 exhibits that they
00:44	25	mentioned; we think we have the universe of what was relied

	1	on.
	2	If they are if they will make a motion and
	3	indicate we left information out or they left information out,
	4	and it qualifies as a business record, we're going to consent
00:44	5	to it. But to resolve an issue of this magnitude without a
	6	motion that really explains in detail what went on, is simply
	7	not fair to plaintiffs, your Honor.
	8	THE COURT: Well, why isn't it fair?
	9	MR. LAKIND: Well, your Honor, because number one,
00:44	10	we haven't even briefed the business record issue at any
	11	length. The premise of the argument you heard a few minutes
	12	ago was that these are these are definitively business
	13	records. Yet there is a decision in the District of New
	14	Jersey that in the <i>Ebenhoech/Koppers</i> case which essentially
00:45	15	says documents of this nature would not qualify as business
	16	records. So we need the opportunity to address those issues,
	17	your Honor.
	18	THE COURT: Well, I'm not moving off the trial, I
	19	was actually going to ask you if you wanted to move up the
00:45	20	trial. So, if they present the books at trial, right, then
	21	you make their objection when they come up; right? That's
	22	usually how it happens. So, you got this letter I think
	23	the first letter came from Blank Rome
	24	MR. LAKIND: Yes, your Honor.
00:45	25	THE COURT: So, they presented the issue, and I

- 1 believe you've responded; I don't know if it was on the same
- $\boldsymbol{2}$ issue, it was respect to the admission of 409 exhibits -- oh
- $oldsymbol{3}$ yes, consisting of 200 pages of the Board materials. So you
- 4 filed some response, but you didn't file a full response; is
- 5 that what you're saying?
 - 6 MR. LAKIND: No, what I said in my letter, your
 - 7 Honor, is that we were responding to whether or not this
 - 8 should be done informally or on a motion, and we didn't
 - 9 understand defendants to be moving for the actual admission of
- 10:46 the documents.
 - 11 THE COURT: Well, I didn't either, that's why I
 - 12 brought up that point in the beginning.
 - 13 MR. LAKIND: Yes.
 - 14 THE COURT: But they're looking for some guidance
- 10:46 **15** here. So, do you have any other arguments? You just want
 - 16 more opportunity to be heard?
 - 17 MR. LAKIND: Your Honor, I don't think -- listening
 - 18 to your Honor's inclinations, I'm not sure it would be
 - 19 fruitful to submit anymore papers; I think I have a sense of
- 30:47 **20** what your Honor's thinking.
 - 21 THE COURT: I'm not sure exactly what I'm thinking.
 - 22 I have some ideas of what I would do --
 - 23 MR. LAKIND: Then I'd like a chance --
 - 24 THE COURT: -- to narrow the dispute to tell you the
- 00:47 **25** truth.

```
1
                          MR. LAKIND: Then your Honor, can I submit a five
            2
                page, double-spaced, 14 font letter that just tells what our
            3
                position is, responding to the substantive letter that Blank
                Rome submitted?
             4
            5
                          THE COURT: When can you do that by? Friday?
00:47
             6
                          MR. LAKIND: I'm sorry, your Honor?
             7
                          THE COURT: Friday?
                          MR. LAKIND: Yes, your Honor, Friday.
             8
             9
                          THE COURT: And do you want to reply?
           10
00:47
                          MR. MURPHY: Yes, your Honor, I don't know what he's
           11
                arguing --
           12
                          THE COURT: Monday.
           13
                          MR. MURPHY: Okay.
           14
                          THE COURT: So I'm breaking early next week, but
           15
                I'll try to give you a decision before I leave next week.
00:47
           16
                          MR. LAKIND: Your Honor, may I raise a couple of
                issues counsel had been speaking about?
           18
                          THE COURT: All right.
           19
                          MR. LAKIND: Number one is we know there are a
                number in limines on in early January, but it's all of our
00:48
           20
           21
                expectations that objections to expert testimony will be
           22
                handled in the course of trial. We just -- all of us wanted
           23
                to make sure that was the case and they wouldn't be argued.
                          THE COURT: Usually that's what I do.
           24
           25
                          MR. LAKIND: Okay.
00:48
```

1 THE COURT: But I will -- actually if you want to 2 make arguments on any of the experts, I'll listen to that at 3 the time of the in limine motions. A lot of times I reserve, but --4 MR. LAKIND: I think we've argued the issue once, 00:48 5 and I think it would be certainly our preference when the witness is called; I don't know how Mr. Murphy feels. MR. MURPHY: For experts that's correct, there may 8 be other motions in limine that we want to argue --10 00:48 THE COURT: Right. We don't have a jury, so we can 11 spent time arquing whether an expert should be heard or barred 12 or whatever during the course of the trial. I think that 13 makes sense to me to tell you the truth. 14 MR. MURPHY: And your Honor, I'm certainly not 15 interested in arguing something that if there's not a point to 00:49 16 it -- if that's the practice on any of these motions in 17 limine, if you read them and you're clearly going to need to hear the evidence at trial there's -- we can take the argument 18 19 up at trial. So --20 00:49 THE COURT: I know. I don't mind doing in limine 21 motions, only because I learn a lot about the case from when 22 we start the trial, so it's of help to me. 23 MR. MURPHY: Good. 24 MR. LAKIND: Your Honor, the second question is, I 25 think there's a indication of Dolores of your office that she 00:49

1 might be able to show our technical people today some 2 material? I don't know if it's a bad day --3 THE COURT: Yes, whenever they want. We need to get 4 Dan and the technical guys up here, but they're here full-time. So if you just tell us when they're coming in, 00:49 5 we'll probably be able to set up an appointment with them. 7 MR. LAKIND: Okay. Third, your Honor, is on January 25th I have to be in California to argue a case; is there any chance -- and I can raise it as we get closer -- that we might 10 00:50 not proceed on that date? 11 THE COURT: Does anyone object to that? 12 MR. MURPHY: Just missing one day of trial -- I 13 didn't catch the date? 14 MR. LAKIND: January 25th. 15 MR. MURPHY: Yes, no objection. 00:50 16 THE COURT: All right. No problem. 17 Okay. So, there might be times during this trial 18 where I might have to break for a while, I don't know; I have some criminal cases that are pending. So, we were looking at 19 00:50 20 five to seven days, but it seems it's going to be a lot longer 21 than that? MR. LAKIND: I think, your Honor, my guess is it 22 23 will be about 15 trial days; I don't know how Mr. Murphy

24

25

00:50

feels.

MR. MURPHY: Yes, your Honor, we're in a little bit

	<pre>1 and some of that relates to this issue; we're in a li</pre>			
	2	bit of an uncomfortable position in the sense that our		
	3	allegation is we don't do anything, right. So kind of backs		
	4	me into a corner to bring in evidence, and I'm trying to do it		
00:50	5	in summary ways, but I need to tell you what FMG does as an		
	6	advisor/administrator for a mutual fund which we would say is		
	7	a massive amount of work, and it's hard for me to just sort of		
	8	put on a witness and say yeah, we're working a lot.		
	9	I've been backed into a corner where I need to bring		
00:51	in witnesses and say okay, tell me what services we provide in			
	11	various buckets, compliance, admin or portfolio management.		
	12	So it is going to be I think we projected eight to 10		
	13	trials days just for our case.		
	14	THE COURT: So, it may come a time where well,		
00:51	15	let me tell you when I wanted to start. I have you down for		
	16	the 11th or 12th, but the case the week before was adjourned		
	17	for some reason, so I might be able to start on the 6th.		
	18	MR. LAKIND: The only thing, your Honor, from our		
	19	perspective, we have our experts lined up, I'm not and one		
00:51	20	of my partners is trying it or two of my partners are		
	21	trying it with me, so I'm just not sure what the experts' and		
	22	everyone's schedule is, that's the only thing.		
	23	THE COURT: Well, we can put all your fact witnesses		
	24	on, we'll accommodate the expert witnesses to the extent we		
00:52	25	can, but we can do those in limine motions earlier. So, I'm		

- 1 available beginning the 6th. So, we had you coming in on the
- 2 11th; right?
- 3 MR. LAKIND: I think, your Honor, you have us in on
- 4 the 4th for the in limines.
- MR. MURPHY: 4th for the in limines, January 11th
 - 6 for the start of the trial, your Honor.
 - 7 THE COURT: Well, we'll do the in limines on the
 - **8** 6th.
 - 9 MR. MURPHY: We'll do whatever -- we're happy to
- on the 6th, we're happy to do the in limines on the 6th,
 - 11 whatever your Honor wishes.
 - 12 THE COURT: I have the 6th, 7th and 8th, so all the
 - 13 motions we can do and any practical problems on the 6th and
 - 14 the 7th, and we can start the testimony on the 8th. And then
- 15 you'll be right where you were, Mr. Lakind, and then you can
 - 16 present your experts starting the 12th.
 - So I have you the week of the 6th, 7th and 8th, 11th
 - 18 through the 15th, and then I believe I have you the next week
 - 19 the 18th through the 22nd. And you wanted off the 25th?
- MR. LAKIND: If possible, your Honor. I think
 - 21 that's a Monday.
 - 22 THE COURT: Yes, the 25th is -- I might have to
 - 23 adjourn that week, I have a number of trials on.
 - MR. LAKIND: Your Honor, it might be the 25th and
- 10:54 **25** the 26th just because I'll be flying back from California.

```
1
                          THE COURT: Okay. So, if we're not going to do the
            2
               25th and 26th, the 27th, that looks to me maybe the afternoon,
            3
               1 o'clock to 4:00. Then the 28th and 29th we should be
               around. And then the 2nd I'm here, and the 4th and 5th of
                February I'm here. Is that enough time? Until the 5th of
00:55
            5
             6
               February?
             7
                          MR. LAKIND: I think we'll go probably about seven
               to eight days, your Honor, for us.
             9
                          THE COURT: I have 6th, 7th, 8th, that's three; then
           10
                the next week is five; then the next week -- well, the 18th is
00:55
           11
                a holiday, it's Martin Luther King Day; the 19th's a motion
           12
                today so you won't come in. And then I have the 20th, 21st,
           13
                and 22nd, three days; there's a few things on so I think we
                will go the whole day, but we might be interrupted for an
           15
               hour. And then the 25th and 26th you're out.
00:56
           16
                          So then 27th is from 1 o'clock; and then the 28th
                and 29th. The 1st is a motion day, so I won't have court that
           17
           18
                day. The 2nd, the 4th and 5th, three more days; looks like a
           19
                lot of time.
           20
00:56
                          MR. LAKIND: Yes, it is. Your Honor, just if I
           21
               might mention, the 25th and 26th we're going to have three
           22
                attorneys trying this case, so if I'm away and it's not a
           23
               witness I'm going to do, we may if it's okay with your Honor
           24
                suggest that we proceed that day.
           25
                          THE COURT: You have to let us know --
00:56
```

	1	MR. LAKIND: We'll know as soon as we see how fast		
	2	we're going.		
	3	THE COURT: Well, hopefully it will be fast.		
	4	All right. So, we didn't get back to the issue yet.		
00: 57	5	Does that take care of the timeframe? Does anyone have any		
	6	objections to that?		
	7	MR. LAKIND: We do not, your Honor.		
	8	MR. MURPHY: No, your Honor.		
	9	THE COURT: So, you've made all your arguments with		
00:57	10	regard to the board minutes?		
	11	MR. LAKIND: I have, your Honor.		
	12	THE COURT: Do you wish to bring?		
	13	MR. MURPHY: I'm very tempted, your Honor; just		
	14	maybe two minutes?		
00:57	15	THE COURT: You may.		
	16	MR. MURPHY: Thank you, your Honor. Just in terms		
	17	of, you know, transparency is what he said, we did offer last		
	18	week we're not trying to hide the ball. We think the Board		
	19	materials heed to come in and a significant volume of them,		
00:57	20	but we did offer to try and identify what documents we're		
	21	actually going to show our witnesses and the judge. We're not		
	22	going to go through a hundred thousand pages and show you		
	23	stuff. We're going to identify some stuff, we're going to		
	24	have summary charts, we're going give them those summary		
00:57	25	charts, and we're going to identify specific pages of the		

- 1 Board materials.
- 2 Again, we won't have a hundred percent precision
- 3 because some of our case is rebuttal, but we think we can cull
- 4 down the list and get, you know, a large chunk of what we show
- 5 our witnesses and tell them that in advance, so they're going
- 6 to see what we show witnesses.
- 7 THE COURT: When will you tell them in advance by?
- 8 MR. MURPHY: I imagine the next couple weeks. We
- 9 just have to go to each witness and look at their direct exam
- 10 and pull out those documents, so we can do well in advance of
 - **11** trial.

00:58

00:58

00:58

- 12 THE COURT: Okay. So, by the 23rd of December.
- 13 MR. MURPHY: Okay. The second point I would just
- 14 make, your Honor, is that most of the stuff he's talking about
- 15 is cross-examination, so he can stand up --
 - 16 THE COURT: I understand.
 - MR. MURPHY: Okay. In terms of the other funds at
 - 18 issue, your Honor, just a couple points on that because it
 - 19 actually is a significant issue. There are 12 funds at issue
 - 20 and they're part of a trust, so it's one trust; the Board sits
 - 21 on all of them, and they're claiming that there's stuff about
 - 22 other funds that's irrelevant. And as your Honor said, are
 - 23 there overlapping issues; absolutely, right.
 - 24 So they're pointing to advantages across all funds.
- Do:59 **25** They're pointing to overall profitability, they're arguing

	1	that economies of scale in one fund that's not at issue should
	2	cause breakpoints in our funds and they should be aggregated
	3	across assets. They're claiming our employees are spending
	4	time on other funds, so they're very much at issue.
00:59	5	Our Board has negotiated numerous fee reductions on
	6	funds not at issue as well, and that certainly goes to
	7	diligence. Even if it's one fund not at issue, if where they
	8	saw a problem, they negotiated a fee reduction and they
	9	explain why, it certainly goes do their diligence. So it's
00:59	10	relevant, but to redact it and you can just look at Book 1,
	11	your Honor, which Defendant's Exhibit 1, you can go through
	12	a lot of times it's a page of all the funds, and you're not
	13	going to redact out individual funds, it would take us an
	14	enormous amount of time, to what end. If it's irrelevant it's
00:59	15	going to be on a disk, we're not going to show it to your
	16	Honor, there's no harm, it would just create a significant
	17	amount of work for no reason.
	18	And the last thing, your Honor, which I agree
	19	doesn't go to the substance, but, you know, they claim that a
01:00	20	board member said they didn't read every page; the correct
	21	testimony was they don't read every page because they rely on
	22	counsel in some instances to summarize what's relevant, and
	23	that was one trustee. Two other trustees, including the
	24	30(b)(6) for the Board, testified very clearly I review all
01:00	25	the materials or in some instances my counsel reviews them and

- 1 summarizes them for me.
- 2 So there is going to be testimony, we're designating
- 3 that in, from two trustees saying they review all the
- 4 materials. But it doesn't matter, even if they didn't it's
- of FMG the
 - 6 advisor. Thank you, your Honor.
 - 7 MR. LAKIND: Your Honor, I know it's late, but could
 - 8 I just raise two very quick issues?
 - 9 THE COURT: Well, quick is a minute or so.
- MR. LAKIND: They will both be. If we get that list
 - 11 -- I had not realized they were prepared to give us that list,
 - 12 that may obviate a lot of these issues, so that would help
 - 13 enormously, we were not aware that that was going to happen.
 - 14 Secondly, our first witness is Mr. Joenk, who's the
- 11:01 **15** defendant's CEO, he sets the stage and I think it's critical
 - 16 that he be our first witness; I want to make sure he can be
 - 17 available on the first day of trial.
 - 18 MR. MURPHY: Sorry. The 4th through the 6th were
 - 19 the motions and the first day of trial would be the 8th? I
- 11:01 **20** would -- I'm sure he'll make himself available. I haven't
 - 21 spoken with him obviously, he's not here, but --
 - 22 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lakind can't have his experts
 - 23 here, so he needs you to bring your witnesses.
 - 24 MR. MURPHY: I'll just make sure my witnesses can
- one of that.

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Lakind, but I think you 2 should, if it helps the trial move smoothly I'd appreciate if 3 you do that. 4 MR. LAKIND: I'm sorry, your Honor? 5 THE COURT: I was asking if you could have Mr. 01:01 6 Joenk --7 MR. MURPHY: No, absolutely, it's a matter of --8 THE COURT: You know, if it makes the trial go 9 smoother and he can be here, I'd request that you do it. 10 01:01 MR. MURPHY: I just work for him, so he's the 11 president of my defendant so I don't commit his schedule, but 12 I think he's available and we certainly would make him 13 available, yeah. 14 THE COURT: I'm thinking that all those motions will 15 probably take us all day on the 6th, so the trial wouldn't 01:02 16 start until the 7th, so if that's the first day. 17 MR. LAKIND: Thank you, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: And it might take us a little longer 19 than that on the motions, but we should get the trial started 20 on the 7th. 01:02 21 MR. MURPHY: Thank you. 22 MR. LAKIND: Thank you, your Honor. 23 MR. MURPHY: Thank you for your patience. 24 THE COURT: At any rate, I don't know if it's a 25 ruling or it's just guidance, but when I was listening to the 01:02

	1	issue and even before I came out here, I had looked at that				
	2	summary rule, and I had set it forth before, but it's 1006				
	3	the rule. And generally when you have use summary charts and				
	4	things of that nature, you always have the originals available				
01:02	5	for the other party to look at at a reasonable time, which				
	6	they have. And if there's a list of the materials that are				
	7	going to be referred to from the Board members, it should be				
	8	given to the plaintiffs by December 23rd so they can start to				
	9	review it, and I think that's the best way of doing this.				
01:03	10	Having a lot of redactions and missing pages during				
	11	the trial can cause disruption, and we'll be looking for other				
	12	pages, I can't see doing that. So if we have them all here in				
	13	some kind of disk format or some kind of format that we can				
	14	see on the computers, then I think that's the way we should				
01:03	15	proceed. I can't see doing it any other way.				
	16	It seems to me that I know, Mr. Lakind, you're				
	17	saying they're not business records and they could be hearsay,				
	18	but in this case and I haven't read the case that you were				
	19	referring to that said they were not business records kept in				
01:04	20	the ordinary course of business, to me when you're looking at				
	21	what the Board of Directors does, it's what they do; they look				
	22	at these volumes of materials time in and time out at every				
	23	meeting, and you're questioning their diligence, their				
	24	negligence, their carelessness, and these are all things that				
01:04	25	go to show whether they were amply advised before they made				

	1	the decision.			
	2	To me they look like they were the records that were			
	3	relied on by the Board of Directors in the course of their			
	4	business, so I would think I would allow them in on that			
01:04	5	basis; subject to you having any more briefing time if you			
	6	want. But I think the smart way to do this is let's we			
	7	have all the Board members, we'll admit them into evidence;			
	8	the defendants under the obligation to give you the summary of			
	9	what they're going to be relying on by next Wednesday the			
01:05	10	23rd, and then we'll rely on those summaries for the evidence			
	11	that I'm going to be weighing. I believe that's what we're			
	12	going to be doing; right? And I think you should make your			
	13	objections as to those specific items that are listed in the			
	14	summary.			
01:05	15	MR. LAKIND: Your Honor, I think given your			
	16	Honor's explanation I think we should not further brief the			
	17	issue. We'll deal with it at trial or even in post trial			
	18	briefing. It is a bench trial, so to the extent something			
	19	comes in we want to object to we can deal with it later.			
01:05	20	THE COURT: Exactly. Although we use the rules of			
	21	evidence, it's not like you have six jurors there.			
	22	MR. LAKIND: Right.			
	23	THE COURT: You know, that could be misled or			
	24	whatnot, and I guess I could be misled, but usually I see			

25 through it. And you know now that I'm not going to read 50

01:06

```
1 volumes of board member notes and books, so I'm only going to
            2
               read what's in the summary.
            3
                          MR. LAKIND: I think your Honor's procedure obviates
               a lot of the concerns we had as to what we should prepare for,
01:06
            5
               too, so I think that --
             6
                          THE COURT: Now, if you're going to be relying on
             7
               parts of those board minute meetings, are you going to give a
             8
               summary to them?
             9
                          MR. LAKIND: They have a list of what -- exactly
               what we're relying on and it's self-evident what it's for;
01:06
           10
           11
               it's performance, expenses, I don't think there's any dispute
           12
                about that. They've not objected.
           13
                          MR. MURPHY: Ironically, your Honor, I think we're
           14
                relying -- we're going to be all showing you the same
           15
                documents, it's just we need a complete record as well.
01:06
           16
                          THE COURT: All right. Any other matters?
           17
                          MR. MURPHY: Not for the defendants, your Honor.
           18
                          MR. LAKIND: Not for plaintiffs, your Honor.
           19
                          THE COURT: All right. Thank you for coming in
           20
01:07
               today.
           21
                          (Counsel say thank you.)
           22
                          (Matter concluded.)
           23
           24
           25
```

•	11:14, 11:16, 11:25,	14:19, 17:1, 46:25	37:24	allegations [1] - 18:23
	— 12:1, 12:10, 12:11, 13:17, 14:5, 14:11,	50,000 [2] - 19:16, 19:19	according [1] - 6:19 Act [1] - 7:2	allow [2] - 3:11, 46:4 allows [1] - 7:3
'12 [1] - 8:13	14:12, 14:14, 19:16	5th [3] - 39:4, 39:5,	actual [2] - 9:9, 33:9	altered [1] - 24:20
	200 [4] - 13:12, 15:7,	39:18	addition [1] - 30:23	amount [6] - 4:4, 13:6,
1	16:12, 33:3		address [2] - 20:21,	16:17, 37:7, 42:14,
	2009 [1] - 9:20	6	32:16	42:17
/ S [1] - 1:24	2010 [1] - 6:20	-	adequate [1] - 8:3	amply [1] - 45:25
	2011 [1] - 8:13 2013 [4] - 11:18,	6 [3] - 10:19, 12:6,	adjourn [1] - 38:23 adjourned [1] - 37:16	analogy [1] - 30:6 analysis [1] - 8:2
0	11:20, 12:3, 14:11	12:7	admin [3] - 17:15,	analysis [1] - 0.2 analyze [1] - 30:4
	2014 [2] - 6:20, 21:16	60 [1] - 17:14	18:12, 37:11	ANN [1] - 1:3
08608 [1] - 1:15	2015 [1] - 1:13	62 [1] - 14:19	admissibility [1] -	annual [1] - 9:8
	20th [1] - 39:12	6th [11] - 37:17, 38:1, 38:8, 38:10, 38:12,	28:10	answer [1] - 29:1
1	21st [1] - 39:12	38:13, 38:17, 39:9,	admissible [4] -	apart [1] - 13:10
	226 [1] - 14:17 22nd [2] - 38:19, 39:13	43:18, 44:15	30:19, 31:3, 31:9, 31:14	apologize [5] - 4:15,
1 [24] - 8:20, 9:3, 9:5,	23rd [3] - 41:12, 45:8,		admission [4] - 6:7,	4:24, 5:9, 5:22, 20:15
9:6, 9:7, 10:3, 10:15,	46:10	7	6:8, 33:2, 33:9	appearances [1] - 3:2
10:17, 10:19, 10:25,	25th [8] - 36:8, 36:14,		admit [3] - 15:13,	application [1] - 4:3
11:7, 11:9, 12:9, 12:10, 12:11, 13:3,	38:19, 38:22, 38:24,	753 [1] - 1:23	25:10, 46:7	apply [1] - 24:24
13:7, 16:10, 39:3,	39:2, 39:15, 39:21	78 [5] - 22:17, 23:1,	advance [5] - 6:14,	applying [1] - 29:22
39:16, 42:10, 42:11	26th [4] - 38:25, 39:2,	23:15, 24:6, 24:24	26:13, 41:5, 41:7,	appointment [1] -
1,800 [1] - 31:24	39:15, 39:21 27 _[1] - 14:19	7th [6] - 38:12, 38:14,	41:10 advantages [1] -	36:6
1,871 [3] - 21:1, 22:13,	27th [2] - 39:2, 39:16	38:17, 39:9, 44:16, 44:20	41:24	appreciate [2] - 5:24, 44:2
26:7	28 [2] - 1:23, 12:24		adversary [1] - 8:18	approach [2] - 8:16,
10 [2] - 12:9, 37:12 100 [2] - 23:5	28th [2] - 39:3, 39:16	8	advised [1] - 45:25	11:4
100 _[2] - 23.3 100,000 _[2] - 22:13,	29th [2] - 39:3, 39:17		- advisers [1] - 23:8	appropriate [2] - 5:8,
23:24	2nd [2] - 39:4, 39:18	80 [1] - 14:19	advisor [4] - 6:23,	15:22
1006 [3] - 16:22,	3	856 [1] - 1:25	18:11, 22:15, 43:6 advisor/	approval [1] - 6:25
17:19, 45:2		_ 889-4761 [1] - 1:25	administrator [1] -	approve [1] - 7:4 approved [2] - 6:21,
11 [1] - 12:10		8th [8] - 11:18, 11:20,	37:6	8:1
11-4194 [1] - 1:5	3 [8] - 9:6, 9:8, 10:25,	14:11, 38:12, 38:14,	Advisors [2] - 10:12,	approving [2] - 12:19,
1186 [2] - 10:16, 10:17 11th [4] - 37:16, 38:2,	12:3, 12:6, 12:9, 12:11, 14:5	38:17, 39:9, 43:19	14:10	13:16
38:5, 38:17	30(b)(6 [1] - 42:24	9	— advisors [1] - 28:16	area [1] - 27:11
12 [8] - 6:17, 12:24,	31(a [1] - 7:10		advocacy [1] - 21:18 affects [1] - 23:1	argue [2] - 35:9, 36:8 argued [3] - 28:11,
17:13, 22:15, 22:24,	31st [1] - 9:20	0 44-40 44-40	afternoon [9] - 3:4,	34:23, 35:5
23:1, 23:10, 41:19	36(b [2] - 6:16, 7:20	9 [2] - 14:16, 14:18 90 [1] - 22:15	3:6, 3:8, 3:9, 3:12,	arguing [4] - 34:11,
120 [1] - 17:16 12th [2] - 37:16, 38:16		9th [3] - 11:18, 11:20,	3:15, 3:18, 3:21,	35:11, 35:15, 41:25
13-312 [1] - 1:10	4	_ 14:11	39:2	argument [7] - 28:9,
14 [2] - 8:13, 34:2		-	- Agenda [2] - 10:10, 10:12	30:13, 30:20, 30:21,
15 [1] - 36:23	4,000 [2] - 16:12,	Α	agenda [2] - 10:17,	31:12, 32:11, 35:18 arguments [3] - 33:15,
15,000 [1] - 21:22	16:16 400 [6] - 15:7, 21:8,		11:17	35:2, 40:9
150 [1] - 13:11	25:17, 26:6, 31:24	ability [2] - 21:11,	agendas [1] - 10:21	arises [2] - 20:22,
15th [1] - 38:18 16 [1] - 1:13	402 [1] - 1:14	28:24	aggregated [1] - 42:2	20:25
18 [2] - 12:24, 14:19	409 [1] - 33:2	able [6] - 22:18, 25:22,	ago [4] - 21:16, 27:21,	ARNOLD [1] - 2:4
18th [2] - 38:19, 39:10	46 [1] - 14:19	26:25, 36:1, 36:6, 37:17	28:11, 32:12	Arnold [1] - 3:5
19th's [1] - 39:11	4:00 [1] - 39:3	abreast [1] - 4:19	agree [3] - 15:25, 23:18, 42:18	arose [2] - 21:15, 21:16
1st [1] - 39:17	4th [5] - 38:4, 38:5, 39:4, 39:18, 43:18	absolutely [4] - 15:3,	agreed [1] - 25:7	Arpert [2] - 20:5, 25:8
		18:7, 41:23, 44:7	agreement [1] - 23:21	asserted [1] - 18:19
2	5	Absolutely [2] - 27:3,	ahead [1] - 25:24	asserting [1] - 24:7
		_ 27:8	al [3] - 1:3, 1:6, 1:8	assessment [1] - 29:6
2 [20] - 9:6, 9:7, 9:8,	5 [2] - 10:19, 12:8	accept [1] - 15:22 access [1] - 10:9	alert [1] - 5:14 allegation [2] - 18:10,	assets [1] - 42:3 assist [1] - 3:22
10:20, 10:25, 11:11,		400000 I IU.U	ancualium zr - 10.10.	
10.20, 10.20, 11.11,	50 [5] - 8:14, 14:17,	accommodate [1] -	37:3	assist[i] - 5.22

assuming [1] - 16:20 attempt [1] - 8:6 attending [1] - 9:19 attorneys [1] - 39:22 available [7] - 17:4, 38:1, 43:17, 43:20, 44:12, 44:13, 45:4 aware [1] - 43:13 AXA [3] - 1:6, 1:10, 3:1 AXA's [1] - 9:11

В

backed [1] - 37:9 backs [1] - 37:3 bad [1] - 36:2 ball [1] - 40:18 barred [1] - 35:11 based [1] - 12:14 basis [2] - 5:3, 46:5 bearing [1] - 24:6 becomes [1] - 29:6 beginning [2] - 33:12, 38:1 behalf [3] - 3:10, 22:15, 23:2 behind [3] - 11:17, 12:8, 14:13 bench [1] - 46:18 **BENEDICT** [2] - 2:11, 3:19 Benedict [1] - 3:19 best [3] - 8:10, 31:20, 45:9 better [2] - 5:8, 5:10 between [2] - 7:19, 13:22 beyond [1] - 22:19 big [2] - 10:2, 15:15 bit [4] - 6:14, 23:9, 36:25, 37:2 **BLADER** [1] - 2:3 BLANK [1] - 2:7 Blank [3] - 3:10, 32:23, 34:3 **BLUMSTEIN** [1] - 2:3 blush [2] - 6:11, 15:1 Board [83] - 4:5, 4:11, 6:6, 6:21, 6:24, 7:2, 8:2, 8:6, 8:7, 8:12, 8:14, 9:13, 10:1, 11:19, 12:2, 12:5, 12:13, 13:6, 13:22, 14:10, 14:13, 14:15, 14:21, 15:4, 15:5, 15:8, 15:9, 15:12, 17:17, 17:20, 17:23, 18:1, 18:4, 18:6, 18:7, 18:14, 21:9,

21:18, 21:19, 21:21, 22:6, 22:8, 22:13, 22:16, 22:23, 22:25, 23:9, 24:9, 24:15, 24:18, 24:19, 25:7, 26:7, 26:19, 27:1, 27:5, 27:10, 28:24, 29:2, 29:4, 29:7, 29:8, 29:12, 30:2, 30:9, 30:10, 30:14, 30:15, 30:25, 31:15, 31:21, 33:3, 40:18, 41:1, 41:20, 42:5, 42:24, 45:7, 45:21, 46:3, 46:7

9:21, 11:18, 24:22, 9:9, 29:20, 30:25, 31:10, 31:15, 31:16, 40:10, 42:20, 47:1, 47:7

boards [1] - 23:7 Book [28] - 9:3, 9:5, 9:6, 9:7, 9:8, 10:3, 10:19, 10:20, 10:25, 11:9, 12:6, 12:8, 12:9, 12:10, 12:11, 13:7, 14:11, 14:12, 14:14, 16:10, 19:16, 42:10

book [12] - 9:16, 9:18, 9:21, 10:13, 10:15, 10:23, 11:8, 12:14, 13:14, 14:6, 15:20, 20:7

books [16] - 7:10, 9:23, 9:24, 10:1, 11:1, 11:2, 13:21, 15:15, 16:10, 17:1, 17:7, 19:23, 31:8, 31:10, 32:20, 47:1 break [3] - 13:11, 13:24, 36:18 breaking [1] - 34:14

13:24, 36:18
breaking [1] - 34:14
breakpoints [1] - 42:2
brief [4] - 21:21,
25:13, 25:21, 46:16
briefed [1] - 32:10
briefing [2] - 46:5,
46:18
briefings [1] - 18:5

briefings [1] - 18:5 bring [12] - 4:8, 7:15, 27:25, 30:11, 30:25, 31:4, 31:14, 31:16, 37:4, 37:9, 40:12, 43:23 broad [1] - 18:2

broad [1] - 18:2 brought [2] - 22:14, 33:12 buckets [1] - 37:11 bulk [1] - 14:3 bundled [1] - 8:9 burden [4] - 6:10, 25:1, 25:10, 25:19 burdening [1] - 17:10 buried [1] - 18:7 business [28] - 7:7, 7:9, 7:14, 9:10, 9:16, 12:21, 14:1, 14:4, 15:13, 18:20, 19:19, 20:2, 21:18, 21:23, 21:25, 25:12, 25:14, 25:16, 25:19, 32:4, 32:10, 32:12, 32:15, 43:5, 45:17, 45:19, 45:20, 46:4 BY [3] - 2:4, 2:7, 2:10

C

C.S.R[1] - 1:24 calculation [1] - 16:23 California [2] - 36:8, 38:25 cannot [3] - 16:24, 17:2, 27:18 care [1] - 40:5 careful [1] - 29:2 carelessness [1] -45:24 case [27] - 6:16, 7:21, 10:24, 19:2, 21:5, 22:14, 22:18, 23:15, 23:20, 24:6, 26:2, 26:5, 26:23, 26:24, 29:1, 30:5, 32:14, 34:23, 35:21, 36:8, 37:13, 37:16, 39:22, 41:3, 45:18 cases [3] - 7:20, 21:7, 36:19 catch [1] - 36:13 categories [1] - 22:23 category [4] - 4:21, 22:24, 22:25, 23:2 **CEO** [1] - 43:15 certain [5] - 13:22, 24:4, 24:7, 26:1, 29:14 certainly [10] - 5:21, 6:19, 11:1, 17:21, 35:6, 35:14, 42:6, 42:9, 43:25, 44:12 Certified [1] - 1:23 challenged [1] - 6:17 chance [2] - 33:23, 36.9 chapters [1] - 20:7

chart [2] - 16:23, 28:10 charts [4] - 17:19, 40:24, 40:25, 45:3 chunk [1] - 41:4 circled [1] - 8:25 cited [1] - 17:19 CIVIL [2] - 1:5, 1:9 claim [2] - 27:11, 42.19 claiming [2] - 41:21, 42:3 claims [1] - 22:15 clarify [1] - 27:9 **CLARKSON** [1] - 1:14 clean [1] - 4:17 clear [1] - 13:1 clearer [1] - 19:8 clearly [4] - 14:1, 22:24, 35:17, 42:24 clerks [1] - 4:20 clients' [1] - 21:20 close [1] - 4:7 closer[1] - 36:9 **CO** [1] - 1:6 co [1] - 3:11 co-counsel [1] - 3:11 cognizant [1] - 17:10 **collective** [1] - 7:19 coming [3] - 36:5, 38:1, 47:19 commit [1] - 44:11 communications [1] -8:24 Company [2] - 7:2, 7:9 comparative [1] -31:23 compilation [1] -11:16 complaint [1] - 18:10 complete [2] - 15:9, 47:15 completely [1] - 23:15 compliance [1] -37:11 complicated [1] -20:18 comply [1] - 4:9 **component** [1] - 31:12 components [2] -13:12. 16:12 computer [1] - 5:17 computers [1] - 45:14 conceding [1] - 15:12 concerns [1] - 47:4 conclude [1] - 7:3 concluded [1] - 47:22

conclusions [1] -

25:15

confer [3] - 15:24, 19:11, 19:17 confusing [1] - 14:7 connect [1] - 31:17 connection [1] - 26:14 conscientious [1] -29:2 conscientiousness [1] - 7:24 consecutively [3] -10:14, 10:16, 12:25 consent [1] - 32:4 consider [1] - 30:15 considerably [1] -20:18 considered [1] - 30:14 **consisting** [1] - 33:3 constitute [1] - 26:7 contains [1] - 21:4 content [1] - 16:23 context [3] - 6:15, 20:21, 20:24 contract [3] - 17:15, 18:13, 23:7 contracts [2] - 9:9, 18:13 contrary [1] - 30:17 contrast [1] - 21:8 conveniently [2] -16:25, 17:2 conversation [1] -21:17 copy [1] - 8:22 core [1] - 7:21 corner [2] - 37:4, 37:9 correct [3] - 1:23, 35:8, 42:20 Correct [1] - 11:12 correctly [1] - 24:17 Counsel [1] - 47:21 counsel [10] - 3:11, 7:19, 20:18, 21:17, 21:23, 23:11, 28:14, 34:17, 42:22, 42:25 couple [4] - 17:22. 34:16, 41:8, 41:18 course [14] - 7:6, 7:8, 9:10, 9:15, 12:21, 16:7, 19:19, 24:3, 25:8, 31:19, 34:22, 35:12, 45:20, 46:3 court [4] - 16:25, 17:3, 25:8, 39:17 Court [6] - 7:25, 9:1, 11:6, 22:18, 23:11, 28:2 COURT [93] - 1:1, 1:17, 3:1, 3:8, 3:12,

3:15, 3:18, 3:21,

4:18, 4:25, 5:10,

charged [1] - 6:17

5:25, 6:4, 7:6, 8:4,
5:25, 6:4, 7:6, 8:4, 8:17, 9:2, 9:18, 9:23,
10:5, 11:5, 11:7,
11:10, 11:13, 11:24,
13:19, 16:20, 19:4,
19:22, 20:12, 20:16,
22:20, 24:3, 25:4,
25:24, 26:22, 27:5,
27:13, 27:22, 28:2,
29:17, 30:12, 30:22,
31:6, 31:18, 32:8,
32:18, 32:25, 33:11,
33:14, 33:21, 33:24,
34:5, 34:7, 34:9,
34:12, 34:14, 34:18,
34:24, 35:1, 35:10,
35:20, 36:3, 36:11,
36:16, 37:14, 37:23,
38:7, 38:12, 38:22,
39:1, 39:9, 39:25,
40:3, 40:9, 40:12,
40:15, 41:7, 41:12,
41:16, 43:9, 43:22,
44:1, 44:5, 44:8,
44:14, 44:18, 44:24,
46:20, 46:23, 47:6,
47:16, 47:19
Court's [1] - 19:7
COURTHOUSE [1] -
1:14
courts [1] - 7:24
cover[1] - 18:13
create [3] - 12:15,
12:25, 42:16
credit [1] - 27:11
criminal [1] - 36:19
critical [3] - 7:17,
12:12, 43:15
cross [2] - 14:14,
41:15
cross-examination [1]
- 41:15
cull [1] - 41:3
cumbersome [1] -
17:10
custodian [6] - 13:19,
13:20, 24:15, 31:6,
31:7, 31:9
01.7, 01.0

D

Dan [1] - 36:4 data [2] - 17:14, 17:16 date [3] - 4:9, 36:10, 36:13 DAVID [1] - 2:7 David [1] - 3:9 dawn [1] - 5:13 days [8] - 13:14,

29:13, 36:20, 36:23, 37:13, 39:8, 39:13, 39:18 deal [7] - 6:13, 14:24, 22:4, 23:12, 28:9, 46:17, 46:19 dealing [3] - 4:4, 4:12, 8.8 **December** [3] - 9:20, 41:12, 45:8 **DECEMBER** [1] - 1:13 decide [2] - 15:2, 18:1 decision [4] - 31:1, 32:13, 34:15, 46:1 decision-making [1] -31:1 defeats [1] - 21:6 DEFENDANT [1] -1:11 defendant [1] - 44:11 **Defendant's** [8] - 11:7, 11:11, 11:13, 11:15, 12:1, 13:17, 14:5, 42:11 defendant's [3] - 12:5, 22:5, 43:15 Defendants [2] -20:25, 28:12 defendants [14] -3:10, 3:14, 3:17, 3:20, 4:14, 21:10, 25:9. 26:10. 26:13. 28:16. 30:18. 33:9. 46:8, 47:17 **DEFENDANTS** [3] -1:7, 2:8, 2:12 defending [1] - 26:24 defense [1] - 30:13 definitively [1] - 32:12 delay [2] - 6:10, 6:13 delegated [1] - 18:10 delete [1] - 24:10 deliberative [1] -31:17 demonstrate [6] -21:22, 23:13, 25:14, 25:19, 27:15, 30:10 demonstrative [1] -17:18 depositions [1] -10:24 describe [1] - 11:13 designating [1] - 43:2 detail [2] - 13:24, 32:6 developed [1] - 29:15

different [6] - 4:21,

differently [1] - 6:2

diligence [3] - 42:7,

20:8, 26:6

11:16, 16:13, 18:13,

42:9, 45:23 Diligent [3] - 10:2, 10:3, 29:4 direct [1] - 41:9 directors [1] - 12:19 **Directors** [4] - 6:21, 21:21, 45:21, 46:3 discern [1] - 26:8 discovery [1] - 5:1 discussions [1] - 25:9 disk [3] - 19:22, 42:15, 45:13 disposition [2] -21:12. 26:5 dispositive [1] - 5:4 dispute [5] - 27:12, 27:24, 31:4, 33:24, 47:11 disrupt [1] - 6:13 disruption [1] - 45:11 **DISTRICT** [4] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:17, 1:18 District [1] - 32:13 docket [1] - 4:19 document [11] -13:11, 14:2, 14:8, 20:6, 21:19, 22:1, 27:16, 28:21, 28:25 documents [32] -6:23, 6:24, 10:6, 11:17, 12:17, 19:6, 19:10, 20:3, 20:8, 21:1, 21:5, 21:11, 21:23, 21:24, 22:9, 23:6, 23:23, 24:6, 24:9, 25:11, 25:12, 25:17, 25:20, 26:2, 26:7, 26:11, 32:15, 33:10, 40:20, 41:10, 47:15 **Dolores** [1] - 35:25 done [12] - 13:2, 15:18, 18:22, 20:7, 21:13, 22:7, 22:12, 23:2, 27:11, 27:24, 33:8 double [6] - 3:25, 5:10, 5:16, 5:22, 17:16, 34:2 double-spaced [2] -5:22, 34:2 down [3] - 13:24, 37:15, 41:4 drawn [2] - 17:16, 21:9 Drew [1] - 3:19 due [1] - 29:25 duplicates [1] - 17:4

Ε early [4] - 5:11, 5:15, 34:14, 34:20 ease [1] - 16:2 easier [3] - 5:15, 5:19, 17:22 **EAST** [1] - 1:14 Ebenhoech/Koppers [1] - 32:14 economies [1] - 42:1 efficient [5] - 9:4, 14:22, 16:5, 19:7, 20:10 eight [2] - 37:12, 39:8 either [1] - 33:11 electronic [1] - 10:2 eliminate [2] - 19:16, 26:12 employees [2] - 12:5, 42:3 end [3] - 13:15, 14:20, 42.14 endeavor [1] - 43:25 ending [1] - 9:20 enormous [1] - 42:14 enormously [1] -43:13 enter [1] - 3:2 entire [3] - 10:13, 10:15, 31:8 entitled [1] - 28:20 EQ [2] - 10:11, 14:10 **EQAT** [1] - 11:19 **EQUITABLE** [2] - 1:6, 1:10 **Equitable** [1] - 3:2 **ESQUIRE** [6] - 2:4, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10, 2:11, essentially [1] - 32:14 et [3] - 1:3, 1:6, 1:8 ethics [1] - 23:7 evidence [24] - 16:6, 17:24, 18:6, 18:17, 26:22, 27:12, 27:14, 27:22, 27:23, 27:25, 28:3, 28:6, 28:8, 30:14, 30:15, 30:16, 30:17, 30:18, 31:4, 35:18, 37:4, 46:7, 46:10, 46:21 evident [1] - 47:10 evidential [2] - 22:1, 29:11 ex [1] - 8:24 exact [2] - 11:22, 20:5 Exactly [1] - 46:20

exactly [4] - 16:21,

24:13, 33:21, 47:9 exam [1] - 41:9 examination [1] -41.15 examine [1] - 23:11 examined [2] - 16:25, 17:3 example [8] - 8:13, 10:10, 17:12, 19:16, 27:17, 28:8, 28:18, 28:21 exception [3] - 21:25, 25:11, 25:20 excessive [1] - 6:18 excuse [4] - 5:21, 21:19, 26:6, 31:22 exhibit [16] - 11:21, 11:22, 13:2, 14:9, 15:7, 16:16, 19:9, 21:1, 21:3, 21:6, 21:8, 23:4, 23:5, 23:6, 26:3, 26:6 Exhibit [10] - 8:20, 11:7, 11:11, 11:14, 11:16, 12:1, 13:3, 13:17, 14:5, 42:11 exhibits [12] - 11:21, 15:7, 15:8, 16:4, 16:13, 17:11, 17:18, 21:9, 22:13, 26:8, 31:24, 33:2 expectations [1] -34:21 expedited [2] - 4:9, 5:2 expenses [3] - 22:10, 31:23, 47:11 expert [3] - 34:21, 35:11, 37:24 **experts** [6] - 15:6, 35:2, 35:8, 37:19, 38:16, 43:22 experts' [1] - 37:21 explain [2] - 4:16, 42:9 explains [1] - 32:6 explanation [1] -46:16 extensively [1] - 15:6 extent [4] - 22:7, 22:12, 37:24, 46:18 eyes [1] - 5:14 F

face [1] - 30:8 fact [6] - 23:22, 28:3, 28:18, 29:7, 30:7, 37:23 factor [1] - 7:25

35:12, 36:17, 45:10

during [4] - 24:3,

factors [4] - 7:22, 7:23, 17:12, 17:13 factual [1] - 31:4 fair [3] - 20:23, 32:7, 32:8 Fair [1] - 4:23 fall [1] - 25:20 familiar [1] - 24:17 fashioned [1] - 5:18 fast [2] - 40:1, 40:3 fear [1] - 16:8 February [2] - 39:5, 39:6 fee [3] - 21:20, 42:5, 42:8 fees [8] - 6:17, 6:21, 6:25, 7:4, 8:8, 12:19, 13:16, 31:23 few [2] - 32:11, 39:13 file [1] - 33:4 filed [1] - 33:4 files [1] - 9:11 financial [1] - 25:16 finder [1] - 28:18 first [19] - 4:11, 6:11, 6:15, 8:25, 11:17, 14:25, 20:21, 20:24, 22:11, 22:24, 23:4, 25:5, 32:23, 43:14, 43:16, 43:17, 43:19, 44:16 First [2] - 4:14, 17:25 FISHER [1] - 1:14 fit [1] - 4:17 fits [2] - 4:21, 17:2 five [7] - 6:20, 8:11, 8:12, 17:14, 34:1, 36:20, 39:10 flying [1] - 38:25 FMG [12] - 18:10, 18:16, 18:21, 21:20, 22:7, 22:12, 22:15, 23:18, 26:17, 28:13, 37:5, 43:5 FMG's [1] - 12:8 follow [2] - 3:24, 4:1 following [1] - 4:6 font [4] - 4:1, 5:16, 5:23, 34:2 FOR [3] - 2:5, 2:8, 2.12 formal [1] - 5:7 format [2] - 45:13 formed [2] - 27:2, 31:1 forth [1] - 45:2 forward [1] - 25:1 foundation [10] - 7:15, 13:5, 15:21, 20:9,

26:19, 27:15, 27:18,

28:17, 28:19, 28:20

foundational [2] -13:14, 27:19 four [1] - 7:20 frame [1] - 6:9 Francis [1] - 1:24 FRANCIS [1] - 1:24 frankly [1] - 21:2 Friday [3] - 34:5, 34:7, 34:8 front [1] - 18:1 fruitful [1] - 33:19 full [4] - 25:14, 25:15, 33:4, 36:5 full-time [1] - 36:5 fully [2] - 18:1, 22:8 fund [4] - 16:14, 37:6, 42:1, 42:7 funds [28] - 6:18, 17:13, 22:10, 22:15, 22:16, 22:17, 22:20, 22:25, 23:1, 23:2, 23:3, 23:6, 23:7, 23:8, 23:10, 23:15, 24:6, 24:24, 41:17, 41:19, 41:22, 41:24, 42:2, 42:4, 42:6, 42:12, 42:13 **FUNDS** [1] - 1:10

G

Gable [1] - 1:24 GABLE [1] - 1:24 gaps [1] - 14:20 Gartenberg [3] - 7:22, 8:1, 17:12 generally [3] - 5:3, 24:15, 45:3 generous [1] - 14:7 given [6] - 6:24, 12:5, 18:23, 25:13, 45:8, 46:15 GLENN [1] - 1:8 goal [1] - 21:6 govern [1] - 7:1 governed [1] - 7:4 greatly [1] - 19:20 grounds [1] - 27:20 GROUP [1] - 1:11 guess [3] - 5:8, 36:22, 46:24 guidance [5] - 6:5, 15:19, 16:19, 33:14, 44:25

guys [1] - 36:4

Η

Hadley [1] - 3:13

half [1] - 15:8

28:22

6:6, 9:5

HADLEY [1] - 2:10

hand [2] - 10:18, 11:3

Handing [2] - 9:1, 11:6

handle [4] - 5:1, 5:2,

handled [1] - 34:22

handwriting [1] - 8:23

happy [2] - 38:9, 38:10

hard [2] - 18:15, 37:7

handed [2] - 27:17,

harm [1] - 42:16 heading [1] - 14:10 hear [2] - 15:2, 35:18 heard [4] - 4:11, 32:11, 33:16, 35:11 hearing [1] - 29:19 HEARING [1] - 1:20 hearsay [6] - 14:2, 14:3, 25:7, 25:11, 25:20, 45:17 heart [1] - 8:10 heed [1] - 40:19 held [1] - 11:20 help[3] - 3:22, 35:22, 43.12 helps [1] - 44:2 hide [1] - 40:18 higher [1] - 21:20 highlight [1] - 10:21 himself [1] - 43:20 hold [1] - 6:12 holiday [1] - 39:11 Honor [105] - 3:4, 3:6, 3:9, 3:14, 3:20, 4:13, 4:14, 4:23, 5:9, 5:22, 6:5, 6:16, 7:9, 7:17, 8:16, 8:21, 8:25, 9:10, 9:17, 10:8, 10:11, 10:17, 10:25, 11:4, 11:12, 12:1, 13:3, 13:18, 14:6, 14:25, 15:5, 15:19, 16:9, 16:19, 17:24, 18:6, 18:9, 18:19, 18:24, 19:3, 19:25, 20:13, 20:14, 22:3, 23:16, 25:3, 25:6, 25:23, 25:25, 26:10, 27:9, 27:17, 27:21, 28:7, 28:10, 28:11, 28:22, 28:23, 30:21, 31:19, 32:7, 32:9,

34:8, 34:10, 34:16, 35:14, 35:24, 36:7, 36:22, 36:25, 37:18, 38:3, 38:6, 38:11, 38:20, 38:24, 39:8, 39:20, 39:23, 40:7, 40:8, 40:11, 40:13, 40:16. 41:14. 41:18. 41:22, 42:11, 42:16, 42:18, 43:6, 43:7, 44:4, 44:17, 44:22, 46:15, 47:13, 47:17, 47:18 Honor's [4] - 33:18, 33:20, 46:16, 47:3 HONORABLE [1] -1:17 hooked [1] - 10:9 hopefully [2] - 26:2, 40:3 hoping [2] - 4:15, 6:3 HORA [2] - 2:11, 3:16 Hora [2] - 3:16, 3:18 hour [1] - 39:15 huge [1] - 18:24 hundred [2] - 40:22, 41:2

ī

hundreds [1] - 19:14

idea [1] - 14:12 ideas [1] - 33:22 identify [5] - 19:11, 31:21, 40:20, 40:23, 40:25 imagine [1] - 41:8 important [5] - 5:5, 5:16, 7:25, 17:25, 24:2 impossible [3] -23:11, 23:25, 26:8 IN [1] - 1:20 inclinations [1] -33:18 include [1] - 15:22 included [1] - 21:12 including [1] - 42:23 incomplete [1] - 14:22 independence [1] -7:23 independent [3] -6:22, 6:23, 7:24 indicate [1] - 32:3 indicated [2] - 20:18, 21:17 indicates [3] - 23:22, 25:23, 25:25 indication [1] - 35:25

indicia [1] - 29:7 individual [1] - 42:13 industry [1] - 10:3 Industry [1] - 14:11 inextricably [1] - 22:2 inferences [1] - 29:14 informally [1] - 33:8 information [20] - 7:2, 7:4, 8:3, 12:19, 13:15, 18:3, 22:16, 22:23, 23:8, 23:14, 24:1, 26:25, 29:3, 29:5, 29:10, 29:16, 30:24, 31:1, 32:3 informed [5] - 18:1, 22:8, 29:6, 29:12, 30:10 initial [1] - 24:9 INS [1] - 1:6 insofar [1] - 23:13 inspection [3] - 7:11, 9:13, 17:4 instance [2] - 23:4, 29:19 instances [2] - 42:22, 42:25 instead [1] - 12:24 intent [1] - 19:24 intention [1] - 19:25 interest [1] - 26:16 interested [1] - 35:15 Internet [7] - 10:6, 29:3, 30:2, 30:8, 30:24, 31:2, 31:13 interrupted [1] - 39:14 intertwined [1] - 22:2 introduce [1] - 3:11 Investment [2] - 7:1, 7:9 IPad [1] - 10:8 Ironically [1] - 47:13 irrelevant [9] - 16:1, 21:5, 22:14, 23:15, 25:2, 26:15, 26:16, 41:22, 42:14 isolate [1] - 21:11 isolated [1] - 18:22 issue [36] - 4:16, 5:4, 6:1, 6:11, 7:16, 8:14, 16:14, 19:17, 21:22, 22:11, 23:13, 24:1, 25:13, 26:17, 26:21, 29:12, 29:15, 29:23, 29:25, 31:19, 32:5, 32:10, 32:25, 33:2, 35:5, 37:1, 40:4, 41:18, 41:19, 42:1, 42:4, 42:6, 42:7, 45:1, 46:17

issues [13] - 6:9, 22:2,

32:17, 32:24, 33:7,

33:17, 34:1, 34:6,

22:4, 22:6, 22:21, 23:20, 29:1, 31:22, 32:16, 34:17, 41:23, 43:8, 43:12 items [1] - 46:13

J

JAMES [1] - 2:11 January [4] - 34:20, 36:7, 36:14, 38:5 **JERSEY** [3] - 1:1, 1:15, 1:18 Jersey [1] - 32:14 **job** [2] - 24:13, 25:4 Joenk [3] - 12:7, 43:14, 44:6 judge [1] - 40:21 Judge [2] - 20:5, 25:8 JUDGE [1] - 1:17 judges [1] - 5:1 JUDGMENT [1] - 1:20 judgment [4] - 6:16, 7:22, 21:10, 26:14 judgments [1] - 25:15 July [4] - 11:18, 11:20, 12:2, 14:11 jump [1] - 14:17 jurors [1] - 46:21 jury [1] - 35:10

Κ

keep [2] - 4:19, 24:13 kept [15] - 7:6, 7:8, 7:11, 9:10, 9:15, 12:20, 12:23, 13:8, 14:21, 16:6, 19:6, 19:18, 24:18, 24:21, 45:19 kind [6] - 4:17, 4:21, 6:11, 37:3, 45:13 King [1] - 39:11 KISTLER [2] - 2:7, 3:9 Kistler [2] - 3:10, 3:12 knowledge [1] - 24:23

L

LAKIND [58] - 2:3, 2:4, 2:4, 3:4, 3:6, 8:21, 20:14, 20:17, 22:22, 25:3, 25:6, 25:25, 27:3, 27:8, 27:14, 28:1, 28:7, 30:6, 30:20, 30:23, 31:12, 31:19, 32:9, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13, 33:17,

33:23, 34:1, 34:6, 34:8, 34:16, 34:19, 34:25, 35:5, 35:24, 36:7, 36:14, 36:22, 37:18, 38:3, 38:20, 38:24, 39:7, 39:20, 40:1, 40:7, 40:11, 43:7, 43:10, 44:4, 44:17, 44:22, 46:15, 46:22, 47:3, 47:9, 47:18

-akind [7] - 3:5, 3:7, 38:15, 43:22, 44:1

Lakind [7] - 3:5, 3:7, 38:15, 43:22, 44:1, 45:16 Lakinds [1] - 3:8

large [2] - 23:19, 41:4 larger [1] - 5:23 last [3] - 10:15, 40:17, 42:18 late [1] - 43:7

law [1] - 43.7 law [1] - 4:20 lay [10] - 7:15, 9:14, 13:5, 15:21, 20:9, 26:18, 27:15, 27:18, 28:17, 28:20

learn [1] - 35:21 least [5] - 6:18, 6:20, 8:12, 23:9 leave [1] - 34:15 left [2] - 32:3 legal [2] - 29:12, 29:15

legal [2] - 29:12, 29 legend [2] - 10:11, 10:12

legitimate [1] - 25:16 length [1] - 32:11 lengthy [1] - 13:5 less [1] - 24:24 letter [9] - 29:14, 29:18, 29:21, 30:4, 32:22, 32:23, 33:6, 34:2, 34:3

Letters [1] - 3:23 letters [2] - 4:18, 8:5 life [1] - 17:21 LIFE [1] - 1:6 Likewise [1] - 29:15

LIFE [1] - 1:6 Likewise [1] - 29:15 limine [5] - 35:3, 35:9, 35:17, 35:20, 37:25 LIMINE/SUMMARY [1]

- 1:20 limines [5] - 34:20, 38:4, 38:5, 38:7, 38:10 lined [1] - 37:19 list [22] - 13:3, 14:8, 14:9, 15:7, 16:4,

14:9, 15:7, 16:4, 16:16, 17:7, 18:2, 18:8, 21:1, 21:2, 21:3, 21:6, 21:8, 23:5, 26:3, 26:6,

41:4, 43:10, 43:11, 45:6, 47:9 listed [1] - 46:13 listen [1] - 35:2 listening [2] - 33:17, 44:25 lists [1] - 11:21 **litigation** [1] - 7:13 LLP [2] - 2:7, 2:10 local [2] - 3:25, 4:9 located [1] - 12:8 lodge [1] - 16:18 look [19] - 5:5, 8:15, 9:16, 10:4, 10:10, 10:17, 11:25, 14:9, 16:21, 23:18, 26:1, 26:11, 29:10, 31:5, 41:9, 42:10, 45:5, 45:21, 46:2 looked [3] - 15:6, 28:25, 45:1 looking [6] - 27:6, 27:23, 33:14, 36:19, 45:11, 45:20 looks [3] - 4:5, 39:2, 39:18 Luther [1] - 39:11

M

magistrate [1] - 5:1 magnitude [4] - 21:4, 21:6, 23:13, 32:5 mailed [1] - 29:13 mailing [1] - 29:18 main [1] - 17:24 maintained [1] - 31:10 majority [4] - 6:22, 21:4, 22:12, 25:18 MANAGEMENT [1] -1:11 management [3] -17:15, 18:12, 37:11 manner[1] - 20:15 margins [1] - 17:14 mark [2] - 11:7, 11:10 Martin [1] - 39:11 **MARY** [1] - 1:3 massive [2] - 14:20, 37:7 material [13] - 17:20, 18:21, 21:9, 21:18, 22:13, 22:16, 22:23, 22:24, 22:25, 27:10, 30:8, 31:21, 36:2 materials [35] - 4:4, 4:11, 6:6, 7:18, 8:6, 8:8, 8:12, 9:13, 11:22, 13:6, 14:13,

14:15, 14:21, 15:4, 15:6, 15:8, 15:9, 15:12, 17:11, 17:17, 17:20, 17:23, 18:7, 18:14, 22:6, 25:7, 26:7, 26:20, 33:3, 40:19, 41:1, 42:25, 43:4, 45:6, 45:22 Matter [1] - 47:22 matter [9] - 4:15, 5:1, 8:11, 18:10, 18:19, 20:25, 21:12, 43:4, 44:7 matters [2] - 8:6, 47:16 McCloy [2] - 2:10, 3:14 mean [8] - 8:11, 10:5, 13:15, 19:3, 24:13, 25:4, 25:7, 29:18 meet [4] - 13:8, 15:24, 19:11, 19:17 meeting [11] - 9:6, 9:8, 9:19, 9:25, 11:18, 11:19, 11:20, 12:2, 24:19, 29:4, 45:23 Meeting [1] - 14:11 meetings [5] - 8:12, 8:13, 8:14, 13:22, 47:7 member [8] - 9:21,

29:9, 30:25, 31:10, 31:15, 31:17, 42:20, 47:1 members [10] - 6:24, 27:1, 27:6, 29:5, 30:2, 30:9, 30:25, 31:16, 45:7, 46:7 mention [1] - 39:21 mentioned [2] - 28:23, 31:25 mere [1] - 30:7 merely [2] - 29:11, 31:13 Merely [2] - 29:16, 31:2 merit [1] - 30:12 might [16] - 6:11, 8:24, 12:24, 24:23, 28:10, 29:24, 36:1, 36:9, 36:17, 36:18, 37:17, 38:22, 38:24, 39:14, 39:21, 44:18 MILBANK [1] - 2:10 Milbank [4] - 3:13, 3:16, 3:19, 4:14 million [1] - 21:2 mind [2] - 5:13, 35:20 minute [2] - 43:9, 47:7

minutes [7] - 11:19,

12:1, 12:4, 12:12, 32:11, 40:10, 40:14 misled [2] - 46:23, 46:24 missing [2] - 36:12, 45:10 moment [3] - 27:4, 27:9, 27:21 Monday [2] - 34:12, 38:21 month [1] - 24:21 months [1] - 28:11 morning [1] - 5:12 most [4] - 7:25, 17:25, 21:9, 41:14 motion [19] - 4:5, 4:6, 4:17, 4:20, 5:3, 5:7, 5:23, 6:2, 20:20, 21:10, 21:13, 25:10, 26:14, 32:2, 32:6, 33:8, 39:11, 39:17 motions [9] - 35:3, 35:9, 35:16, 35:21, 37:25, 38:13, 43:19, 44:14, 44:19 MOTIONS [1] - 1:20 move [6] - 6:8, 12:17, 13:6, 13:8, 32:19, 44:2 moved [1] - 17:23 moving [2] - 32:18, 33:9 MR [106] - 3:4. 3:6. 3:9, 3:13, 3:16, 3:19, 4:13, 4:23, 5:9, 5:21, 6:1, 6:5, 7:8, 8:9, 8:19, 8:21, 8:22, 9:3, 9:22, 9:24, 10:7, 11:9, 11:12, 11:15, 11:25, 13:23, 17:9, 19:5, 19:24, 20:13, 20:14, 20:17, 22:22, 25:3, 25:6, 25:25, 27:3, 27:8, 27:14, 28:1, 28:7, 30:6, 30:20, 30:23, 31:12, 31:19, 32:9, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13, 33:17, 33:23, 34:1, 34:6, 34:8, 34:10, 34:13, 34:16, 34:19, 34:25, 35:5, 35:8, 35:14, 35:23, 35:24, 36:7, 36:12, 36:14, 36:15, 36:22, 36:25, 37:18, 38:3, 38:5, 38:9, 38:20, 38:24, 39:7, 39:20, 40:1, 40:7,

40:8, 40:11, 40:13,

40:16, 41:8, 41:13,

41:17, 43:7, 43:10, 43:18, 43:24, 44:4, 44:7, 44:10, 44:17, 44:21, 44:22, 44:23, 46:15, 46:22, 47:3, 47:9, 47:13, 47:17, 47:18 MURPHY [49] - 2:10, 3:13, 4:13, 4:23, 5:9, 5:21, 6:1, 6:5, 7:8, 8:9, 8:19, 8:22, 9:3, 9:22, 9:24, 10:7, 11:9, 11:12, 11:15, 11:25, 13:23, 17:9, 19:5, 19:24, 20:13, 34:10, 34:13, 35:8, 35:14, 35:23, 36:12, 36:15, 36:25, 38:5, 38:9, 40:8, 40:13, 40:16, 41:8, 41:13, 41:17, 43:18, 43:24, 44:7, 44:10, 44:21, 44:23, 47:13, 47:17 Murphy [5] - 3:13, 3:15, 4:13, 35:7, 36.23 must [2] - 7:2, 17:3 mutual [7] - 6:17, 22:15, 22:16, 22:17, 24:6, 24:24, 37:6

Ν

narrow [1] - 33:24 nature [4] - 5:4, 29:21, 32:15, 45:4 nearly [1] - 21:1 necessarily [1] - 18:3 need [20] - 3:24, 12:17, 13:6, 16:9, 16:11, 17:23, 18:15, 19:2, 26:18, 27:15, 28:17, 30:10, 30:24, 31:9, 32:16, 35:17, 36:3, 37:5, 37:9, 47:15 needed [1] - 9:4 needs [2] - 4:8, 43:23 negligence [1] - 45:24 negotiate [1] - 21:20 negotiated [2] - 42:5, 42:8 neighbors [1] - 29:21 new [2] - 16:16, 16:18 **NEW** [3] - 1:1, 1:15, 1:18 New [1] - 32:13 next [7] - 34:14, 34:15,

38:18, 39:10, 41:8,

46.9 NO [2] - 1:5, 1:9 notes [2] - 5:19, 47:1 nothing [1] - 22:17 notice [4] - 4:20, 29:19, 29:23, 29:25 notion [1] - 29:11 November [1] - 21:16 number [7] - 11:23, 22:7, 22:8, 23:19, 32:9, 34:20, 38:23 Number [3] - 22:7, 25:6, 34:19 numbered [4] - 10:14, 10:16, 12:25 numbers [3] - 10:22, 12:14, 14:19 numerous [2] - 10:24, 42:5

0

o'clock [2] - 39:3,

object [8] - 16:13,

19:9, 19:10, 20:11,

25:17, 31:24, 36:11,

objected [2] - 15:11,

39.16

46:19

47:12 objecting [1] - 27:19 objection [6] - 15:10, 15:15, 15:16, 16:3, 32:21, 36:15 objections [7] - 15:24, 16:18, 26:12, 29:24, 34:21, 40:6, 46:13 obligation [1] - 46:8 obviate [1] - 43:12 obviates [1] - 47:3 obviously [3] - 6:8, 13:24, 43:21 Obviously [1] - 14:17 odd [1] - 21:8 **OF** [2] - 1:1, 1:18 offer [2] - 40:17, 40:20 office [2] - 24:20, 35:25 OFFICIAL [1] - 1:25 often [1] - 9:25 old [2] - 5:18, 29:13 ON [1] - 1:20 once [1] - 35:5 one [44] - 4:3, 7:23, 8:19, 9:21, 11:3, 11:10, 11:17, 11:21, 12:2, 12:6, 12:9, 13:13, 13:20, 14:2, 14:7, 15:12, 15:15,

15:20, 16:3, 17:13, 17:25, 18:11, 21:2, 21:16, 22:7, 23:19, 25:6, 27:1, 27:9, 28:8, 29:1, 30:6, 31:12, 32:9, 34:19, 36:12, 37:19, 41:20, 42:1, 42:7, 42:23 one's [1] - 12:6 ones [1] - 24:19 opinions [3] - 21:24, 25:18, 27:2 opportunity [5] -21:21, 25:13, 28:6, 32:16, 33:16 order [3] - 4:8, 6:2, 26:3 ordinary [8] - 7:6, 7:8, 9:10, 9:15, 12:21, 16:6, 19:18, 45:20 originals [2] - 17:4, 45:4 otherwise [1] - 27:16 overall [2] - 12:14, 41:25 overlap [1] - 23:1 overlapping [2] -22:21, 41:23 overview [1] - 12:8 overwhelmed [1] -21:2 overwhelming [2] -22:12, 25:18 Ρ

page [24] - 5:14, 8:25, 10:13, 10:15, 12:3, 12:7, 12:10, 14:16, 14:18, 14:19, 15:11, 15:12, 15:15, 20:1, 26:15, 26:16, 34:2, 42:12, 42:20, 42:21 Page [1] - 12:9 pages [31] - 5:19, 10:14, 10:23, 12:16, 12:23, 12:24, 12:25, 14:17, 15:24, 15:25, 16:15, 16:16, 17:7, 19:15, 19:16, 19:19, 21:2, 21:22, 22:13, 23:25, 24:4, 24:8, 24:13, 24:22, 26:6, 33:3, 40:22, 40:25, 45:10, 45:12 paper [1] - 23:25 papers [3] - 20:15, 22:5, 33:19 part [3] - 6:25, 31:1,

41:20 parte [1] - 8:24 particularly [1] - 9:8 parties [8] - 3:22, 5:6, 15:3, 16:21, 20:23, 26:4, 26:15, 29:23 partners [2] - 37:20 parts [1] - 47:7 party [2] - 26:4, 45:5 patience [1] - 44:23 PC [1] - 2:3 pending [1] - 36:19 people [3] - 16:2, 29:19, 36:1 perceive [1] - 22:3 percent [1] - 41:2 performance [2] -22:9, 47:11 perhaps [2] - 6:16, 28:7 period [1] - 6:18 periods [1] - 13:22 permitted [2] - 19:8, 25:21 person [2] - 24:16, 24:17 perspective [1] -37:19 pertain [1] - 23:6 PETER [1] - 1:17 PGS [2] - 1:5, 1:10 photographs [1] -16:24 phrase [1] - 17:2 piece [3] - 12:18, 18:25, 28:8 pieces [2] - 18:13, 18:22 place [1] - 14:18 **plaintiff** [1] - 3:3 plaintiff's [3] - 11:21, 14:8, 14:9 PLAINTIFFS [3] - 1:4, 1:8, 2:5 Plaintiffs [2] - 15:11, 26:13 plaintiffs [14] - 3:5, 3:7, 6:17, 6:19, 13:2, 13:9. 14:1. 19:9. 20:19, 23:16, 28:13, 32:7, 45:8, 47:18 planning [1] - 29:20 plus [1] - 31:10 point [8] - 4:23, 8:2, 14:1, 19:4, 28:4, 33:12, 35:15, 41:13 pointing [2] - 41:24, 41:25 points [3] - 17:14,

17:16, 41:18

poor [1] - 30:6 portfolio [1] - 37:11 portion [1] - 26:23 portions [3] - 18:14, 19:11, 19:15 position [3] - 12:21, 34:3, 37:2 possible [1] - 38:20 post [2] - 18:5, 46:17 posted [5] - 10:3, 10:5, 29:3, 29:7, 30:8 posting [7] - 29:11, 29:16. 30:1. 30:23. 31:2, 31:13, 31:17 potential [1] - 6:12 practical [2] - 20:10, 38:13 practice [2] - 4:10, 35:16 precision [1] - 41:2 preference [1] - 35:6 premise [1] - 32:11 prepare [5] - 5:11, 18:16, 19:1, 26:9, 47:4 $\boldsymbol{prepared}~\texttt{[12]-7:13},$ 17:18, 21:23, 23:18, 23:19, 23:22, 28:13, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 43:11 present [6] - 5:6, 28:6, 29:24, 30:15, 32:20, 38:16 presentations [1] -12:4 presented [9] - 4:15, 5:6, 6:2, 10:1, 11:22, 12:13, 15:20, 24:18, 32:25 presenter [1] - 10:19 presenting [1] - 24:4 presents [1] - 30:14 president [1] - 44:11 presumptions [1] -29:15 pretrial [2] - 21:7, 26:3 problem [9] - 15:2, 16:1, 18:20, 20:17, 20:22, 20:25, 21:15, 36:16, 42:8 problems [1] - 38:13 procedural [1] - 6:11 procedure [1] - 47:3 proceed [3] - 36:10, 39:24, 45:15 process [4] - 21:7, 29:25, 31:2, 31:17 profit [1] - 17:14 profitability [4] - 12:8,

17:13, 31:23, 41:25 progress [2] - 15:3, 19:21 projected [1] - 37:12 properly [1] - 26:9 proponent [2] - 16:22, 17:3 propose [1] - 20:22 prove [1] - 16:23 provide [2] - 26:3, 37:10 provider [1] - 10:2 pull [7] - 12:16, 12:24, 15:25, 16:15, 20:7, 23:14, 41:10 pulled [2] - 12:23, 14:12 **purpose** [1] - 26:3 purposes [1] - 16:2 put [14] - 5:17, 8:6, 8:7, 13:5, 13:7, 16:5, 16:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:24, 19:22, 26:25, 37:8, 37:23 puts [1] - 12:21 putting [1] - 26:19

Q

qualifies [1] - 32:4 qualify [3] - 13:25, 17:19, 32:15 quarter [1] - 9:20 questioned [1] - 12:14 questioning [1] -45:23 quick [2] - 43:8, 43:9 quite [1] - 13:25

R

R.M.R [1] - 1:24 raise [3] - 34:16, 36:9, 43:8 random [3] - 10:23, 12:16, 12:23 rate [1] - 44:24 read [10] - 5:15, 8:5, 30:2. 30:9. 35:17. 42:20, 42:21, 45:18, 46:25, 47:2 reading [1] - 4:22 realized [1] - 43:11 really [6] - 6:12, 8:1, 9:4, 16:12, 20:1, 32:6 reason [3] - 14:24,

37:17, 42:17

reasonable [3] - 17:5, relied [4] - 12:19, 20:23, 45:5 reasonably [1] - 7:3 reasons [3] - 17:22, 17:24, 18:21 rebuttal [1] - 41:3 receive [1] - 26:5 received [2] - 29:14, recently [1] - 21:15 recognize [1] - 6:10 record [14] - 11:15, 12:12, 12:15, 12:24, 13:1, 15:9, 18:4, 19:8, 21:18, 21:25, 32:4, 32:10, 43:5, 47:15 recordings [1] - 16:24 records [27] - 7:10, 7:14, 13:20, 13:21, 14:1, 14:4, 15:13, 16:6, 18:20, 20:2, 21:23, 24:14, 24:15, 25:12, 25:14, 25:16, 25:19, 31:7, 31:9, 32:13, 32:16, 43:5, 45:17, 45:19, 46:2 redact [3] - 16:14, 42:10, 42:13 redactions [2] - 16:15, 45:10 reduce [1] - 19:13 reduction [1] - 42:8 reductions [1] - 42:5 refer [2] - 11:1, 17:7 reference [2] - 12:5, 14:15 referenced [1] - 14:13 references [8] - 10:18, 10:19, 10:21, 12:4, 12:6, 12:9, 12:10, 14:21 referred [3] - 10:25, 12:7, 45:7 referring [1] - 45:19 regard [8] - 17:9,

22:17, 23:10, 23:17,

23:21, 27:19, 29:23,

regardless [2] - 5:23,

related [1] - 29:18

relates [4] - 16:14,

22:24, 31:22, 37:1

relevance [1] - 31:20

relevant [12] - 6:18,

21:12, 22:6, 23:9,

24:11, 24:12, 26:5,

26:9, 27:1, 30:24,

42:10, 42:22

40:10

18:18

31:11, 31:25, 46:3 rely [3] - 13:15, 42:21, 46:10 relying [6] - 15:5, 15:14, 46:9, 47:6, 47:10, 47:14 remainder [1] - 23:17 remaining [1] - 23:23 remove [1] - 19:19 reply [1] - 34:9 Report [1] - 14:11 **REPORTER** [1] - 1:25 reports [1] - 28:15 represent [1] - 23:10 represents [1] - 31:21 request [1] - 44:9 require [1] - 26:14 required [2] - 1:23, 18:12 requirement [1] - 13:8 requirements [1] -3:25 requires [1] - 7:10 reserve [1] - 35:3 resolve [3] - 19:12, 23:24, 32:5 resolved [1] - 15:10 resolving [1] - 15:3 respect [2] - 13:4, 33:2 respectfully [2] -21:13, 23:12 respects [1] - 19:2 responded [1] - 33:1 responding [2] - 33:7, 34:3 response [2] - 33:4 result [1] - 24:19 review [9] - 10:6, 21:3, 24:5, 29:5, 31:16, 42:24, 43:3, 45:9 reviewed [4] - 8:7, 12:13, 28:25, 30:25 reviewing [1] - 22:5 reviews [1] - 42:25 revolved [1] - 20:20 **right-hand** [1] - 10:18 Rob [1] - 3:6 Robert [1] - 3:16 **ROBERT** [2] - 2:4, 2:11 **ROME** [1] - 2:7 Rome [3] - 3:10, 32:23, 34:4 rule [5] - 25:11, 25:21, 30:18, 45:2, 45:3 Rule [1] - 16:22

4:2, 4:6, 7:10, 46:20 ruling [2] - 22:1, 44:25 S

SANFORD [1] - 1:8 satisfy [1] - 21:24 saw [2] - 18:4, 42:8 scale [1] - 42:1 **SCC** [2] - 7:11, 7:12 schedule [2] - 37:22, 44:11 **SEAN** [1] - 2:10 Sean [2] - 3:13, 4:13 SEC [2] - 9:12, 9:14 second [9] - 10:10, 11:18, 22:25, 24:1, 26:21, 31:3, 31:14, 35:24, 41:13 secondly [3] - 4:3, 18:9, 20:22 Secondly [2] - 25:23, 43:14 secretary [1] - 24:14 Section [2] - 1:23, 7:10 section [1] - 7:1 see [17] - 4:16, 4:20, 9:13, 10:11, 14:16, 18:15, 19:12, 30:3, 30:12, 30:17, 40:1, 41:6, 45:12, 45:14, 45:15, 46:24 seem [5] - 4:9, 6:11, 14:22, 15:1, 16:7 self [1] - 47:10 self-evident [1] -47:10 send [1] - 29:21 sense [5] - 10:22, 30:19, 33:19, 35:13, 37:2 sensibly [1] - 21:3 sent [1] - 30:4 separate [3] - 11:17, 13:11, 21:1 served [1] - 20:25 **services** [1] - 37:10 serving [1] - 21:5 set [4] - 11:19, 16:18, 36:6, 45:2 sets [1] - 43:15 seven [3] - 7:20, 36:20, 39:7 SHERIDAN [1] - 1:17 shorten [1] - 19:20

show [17] - 4:8, 6:3,

8:14, 8:17, 13:20,

18:21, 19:2, 20:4,

24:13, 27:14, 36:1, 40:21, 40:22, 41:4, 41:6, 42:15, 45:25 showed [1] - 20:5 showing [3] - 19:25, 27:23, 47:14 side [4] - 5:19, 10:18, 28:10 side-by-side [1] -28:10 significant [8] - 5:4, 7:16, 19:15, 27:12, 31:22, 40:19, 41:19, 42:16 simple [1] - 22:4 Simply [1] - 26:19 simply [6] - 23:10, 26:12, 26:16, 27:16, 28:22, 32:6 single [5] - 12:18, 15:10, 15:11, 19:9, 23:6 sit[1] - 26:15 site [1] - 29:4 sits [1] - 41:20 **SIVOLELLA** [1] - 1:3 Sivolella [1] - 3:1 six [3] - 7:22, 13:13, 46:21 size [2] - 4:1, 5:16 skinny [1] - 14:6 smart [2] - 27:7, 46:6 smoother [1] - 44:9 smoothly [1] - 44:2 solution [1] - 20:23 **sometimes** [1] - 9:6 soon [1] - 40:1 Sorry [1] - 43:18 **sorry** [4] - 25:23, 34:6, 44:1, 44:4 **sort** [2] - 12:15, 37:7 source [1] - 17:20 space [1] - 3:25 spaced [2] - 5:22, 34:2 spacing [2] - 5:10, 5:16 **spans** [1] - 6:19 speaking [1] - 34:17 **specific** [2] - 40:25, 46:13 specifically [1] - 10:9 spending [1] - 42:3 spent [1] - 35:11 spoken [1] - 43:21 squarely [1] - 4:17 **squinting** [1] - 5:14 stack [1] - 16:10 stage [1] - 43:15 stand [2] - 20:4, 41:15

rules [6] - 3:24, 3:25,

standard [1] - 8:1 start [13] - 3:2, 10:14, 10:23, 19:21, 20:24, 35:22, 37:15, 37:17, 38:6, 38:10, 38:14, 44:16, 45:8 started [2] - 14:25, 44.19 starting [2] - 8:2, 38:16 starts [1] - 14:16 **STATE** [1] - 1:14 state [1] - 16:21 STATES [1] - 1:1 **statute** [1] - 7:5 statutes [1] - 7:1 step [2] - 31:3, 31:14 **still** [4] - 3:23, 3:24, 5:14, 43:5 stipulate [1] - 19:17 straightforward [1] -22:4 **STREET** [1] - 1:14 stuff [6] - 8:25, 18:14, 40:23, 41:14, 41:21 sub [2] - 18:11, 28:16 sub-advisor[1] -18:11 sub-advisors [1] -28:16 subject [3] - 7:11, 9:13, 46:5 subjectivity [1] -13:10 submit [3] - 23:12, 33:19, 34:1 submitted [2] - 20:15, 34:4 subset [1] - 26:1 substance [1] - 42:19 substantially [1] -6:13 substantive [5] -18:25, 22:2, 22:9, 31:20, 34:3 suggest [1] - 39:24 summaries [1] - 46:10 summarize [2] -18:22, 42:22 summarizes [1] - 43:1 summary [18] - 6:16, 7:22, 16:22, 17:5, 17:6, 17:19, 18:8, 21:10, 26:14, 37:5, 40:24, 45:2, 45:3, 46:8, 46:14, 47:2, 47.8 Supreme [1] - 7:25 suspect [1] - 20:3

system [1] - 10:2

SZAFERMAN [1] - 2:3 Szaferman [1] - 3:5

Т tab [18] - 10:10, 10:19, 10:22, 11:18, 11:25, 12:6, 12:8, 12:9, 12:10, 12:11, 12:14, 14:5, 14:12, 14:14, 16:3 table [1] - 9:14 tabs [3] - 11:2, 11:17, 20.6 tall [1] - 16:7 technical [2] - 36:1, 36:4 tee [1] - 6:1 tempted [1] - 40:13 tend [1] - 8:9 terms [2] - 40:16, 41:17 testified [2] - 29:5, 42.24 testify [1] - 31:16 testimony [9] - 13:14, 15:2, 17:8, 29:9, 30:8, 34:21, 38:14, 42:21, 43:2 themselves [1] - 3:11 There'd [1] - 9:24 there'd [1] - 13:10 They've [2] - 8:20, 47:12 13:22 they've [1] - 20:7 thinking [4] - 27:2, 33:20, 33:21, 44:14 thinks [1] - 26:4 third [3] - 11:20, 23:2, 31:19 Third [2] - 26:10, 36:7 thousand [4] - 20:3, 20:8, 20:9, 40:22 thousands [1] - 19:15 three [13] - 9:24, 9:25, 10:1, 11:16, 22:6, 22:8, 22:23, 29:4, 39:9, 39:13, 39:18, 39:21 Tweed [4] - 3:13, 3:16, timeframe [2] - 17:5, 40:5 Title [1] - 1:23 today [7] - 4:4, 6:4, 6:8, 11:8, 36:1, 39:12, 47:20 together [2] - 8:9,

18:16

total [1] - 21:1

top [2] - 10:11, 14:10

Totally [1] - 7:8 totally [1] - 20:9 transparency [2] -26:4, 40:17 transparent [1] - 21:8 treat [1] - 19:8 tremendous [1] -16:17 TRENTON [1] - 1:15 trial [40] - 4:7, 5:4, 6:10, 6:13, 7:18, 7:20, 9:5, 10:8, 11:1, 13:5, 15:21, 16:2, 16:8. 18:5. 24:4. 26:11, 32:18, 32:20, 34:22, 35:12, 35:18, 35:19, 35:22, 36:12, 36:17, 36:23, 38:6, 41:11, 43:17, 43:19, 44:2, 44:8, 44:15, 44:19, 45:11, 46:17, 46.18 30:16, 37:13, 38:23

trials [4] - 27:13, tried [1] - 7:20 trier [1] - 28:3 trouble [1] - 8:25 true [1] - 1:23 trust [2] - 41:20 Trust [2] - 10:12, 14:10 trustee [1] - 42:23 Trustees [5] - 11:19, 12:2, 12:7, 13:15,

trustees [4] - 6:22, 7:24, 42:23, 43:3 truth [4] - 18:18, 22:9, 33:25, 35:13 try [7] - 4:19, 6:8, 13:25, 23:23, 28:4, 34:15, 40:20 trying [11] - 6:9, 7:15, 8:5, 13:4, 14:24, 16:5, 37:4, 37:20, 37:21, 39:22, 40:18 turn [5] - 12:3, 14:5, 22:3, 22:11, 22:22 TWEED [1] - 2:10

3:19, 4:14 two [8] - 12:9, 17:24,

22:7, 29:17, 37:20, 40:14, 43:3, 43:8 Two [2] - 12:10, 42:23

type [2] - 4:1, 18:3 typical [1] - 28:8

U

U.S [2] - 1:17, 1:25 U.S.C [1] - 1:23 unbundle [4] - 12:22, 13:9, 16:11, 20:2 unbundling [2] -10:23, 13:14 uncertain [1] - 8:4 unclear [1] - 12:23 uncomfortable [1] -37:2 under [3] - 7:20, 17:19, 46:8 undertake [1] - 25:9 undertaken [1] - 17:12 Unfortunately [1] -20:17 **UNITED** [1] - 1:1 universe [1] - 31:25 unless [1] - 31:14 up [18] - 5:11, 5:13, 6:1, 10:9, 18:1, 19:25, 20:4, 28:2, 28:22, 32:19, 32:21, 33:12, 35:19, 36:4, 36:6, 37:19, 41:15, 43:25 uses [2] - 21:19, 21:20

37:5

Wednesday [1] - 46:9 week [10] - 24:21, 34:14, 34:15, 37:16, 38:17, 38:18, 38:23, 39:10, 40:18 weeks [2] - 29:4, 41:8 weigh [2] - 28:3, 30:16 weighing [1] - 46:11 weird [1] - 12:21 well's [1] - 12:22 whatnot [1] - 46:24 whole [3] - 21:7, 26:2, 39:14 willing [1] - 15:25 wish [2] - 4:1, 40:12 wishes [2] - 4:11, 38:11 witness [13] - 7:15, 13:5, 13:7, 13:12, 15:21, 15:23, 22:18, 35:7, 37:8, 39:23, 41:9, 43:14, 43:16 witnesses [11] -10:25, 13:20, 26:9, 37:10, 37:23, 37:24, 40:21, 41:5, 41:6, 43:23, 43:24 write [1] - 5:18 writings [1] - 16:24

Y

V

utterly [2] - 21:5,

22:14

variance [1] - 29:20 variety [2] - 22:9, 28:15 various [3] - 11:1, 23:19, 37:11 versus [1] - 3:1 view [6] - 4:10, 20:19, 22:6, 28:12, 28:13, 28:16 virtually [1] - 18:11 volume [2] - 19:13, 40:19 volumes [2] - 45:22, 47:1 voluminous [3] - 13:6, 16:23, 17:11

year [4] - 6:22, 6:25, 8:12, 21:16 years [5] - 6:19, 6:20, 8:11, 17:14, 29:13 yellow [1] - 20:6

Ζ

zealously [1] - 26:24

W

vote [1] - 9:9

Vs [2] - 1:5, 1:9

wait [2] - 15:1, 16:8 wake [2] - 5:11, 5:13 ways [3] - 4:7, 19:20,